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INTRODUCTION

TO THE CONFERENCE
ABOUT THE CONFERENCE – PREFACE

Distinguished participants of the Conference!

On behalf of the Austrian Presidency of the European Council I would like to welcome you all here in Vienna.

As Minister responsible for science and research policy let me underline the importance of the Social Sciences and Humanities. Social Sciences and Humanities are an essential part of a developed knowledge society. SSH-disciplines produce fundamental knowledge about us as individuals and as a society. The challenges of our time cannot be solved by contributions from the natural sciences and engineering alone. All disciplines need to work together. The critical and self-reflective perspective of SSH is constantly challenging established patterns. This perspective should be used in a productive way to bring science and society forward.

At this conference, you will focus on SSH-integration and the impacts of SSH-research in Horizon Europe and beyond. The research framework programme, now Horizon 2020, soon Horizon Europe, is unique in the world. Just alike SSH-Integration as a broad cross-cutting issue and a strategy in such a large programme is a unique feature. It really shows the importance SSH has in European society and European science.

SSH work together with other disciplines to solve the grand societal challenges of our time. Also, SSH contribute strongly to implement scientific results into reality. One pathway to bring scientific results into reality is, by contributing to sectoral policies. Research and Innovation play an ever more important role in sectoral policies. R&I Policy should reflect this more strongly. The challenges of our time need modern governance, that is, more cooperation between all policy areas. SSH-research plays an important role in facilitating this exchange between research policy and sectoral policies.

Now a few words about impact: Social Sciences and Humanities have a specific role, when it comes to the question of impact. They look at themselves and their own impact. But they are also deeply involved in discussing, criticising and developing the concept of impact in general. These disciplines have contributed to the debate of re-defining impact that has much developed from looking merely at research impact measured by h-factors, citations, and the amount of publications towards looking more broadly at societal impact and they will continue to do so.

I wish you all a successful conference and hope that it will provide many opportunities to engage into a dialogue between policy makers and researchers about the contributions SSH can provide to reach the aims of Horizon Europe.
There are various attempts to circumscribe and catch the meaning of “impact” related to and resulting from scholarly research from the Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH). For all their commendable efforts, these definitions cannot remove the impression that the initial need to come up with a definition is driven by political motives. As a result, the use of the term “impact” has often acquired a defensive tone. The political motives spring largely from increasing demands for accountability; and the defensiveness can be detected in the way “impact” is set up to prove the relevance to society.

We argue that time has come to move beyond a purely defensive stance on the part of the Social Sciences and Humanities. There is a more substantial issue involved, namely, to re-think the transformative relationship between science and society. Scientific research is about transformation – how to enable it, or how to avoid it. It is about the transformation that society is undergoing as much as about the transformative power inherent in knowledge and policies based on social science knowledge. The Social Sciences and Humanities are deeply involved in the processes that use scientific and scholarly approaches to bring about a better society, difficult as it may be to define it. Arguably, their societal and political relevance has always been more present in the political arena than that of the natural sciences. This should be acknowledged and not denied.

Social Sciences and Humanities have to look at “impact” in a different way – the term needs to be “re-loaded” with a renewed sense of responsibility and reflecting a different self-image of their role and position in society. Instead of using “impact” solely as a defensive instrument to preserve the status quo of the Social Sciences and Humanities, the contemporary focus on “impact” offers a unique window of opportunity for the Social Sciences and Humanities to reflect upon and redefine their role and redefine their societal relevance. This understanding of “impact” is not limited to the instrumental “use value” that SSH research may provide for certain user groups, but is wide-ranging through the implicit embeddedness of SSH within society, provided that it remains open to society, and its power to analyse and explain social phenomena and to contribute to overcoming societal drawbacks through a diversity of discourse and exchange levels and formats. These aspects can be dealt with distinctively, albeit they are interrelated.

a) With “impact” becoming the driving force for assessing relevance of scientific endeavors the Social Sciences and Humanities are in a position to contribute to, and shape the concept. The reason is that they study impact, they reflect impact, and they assess impact. It is not by chance that a social scientist has elevated the notion of “unintended consequences” to prominence and that assessing these consequences has become one of the main rationales of applied research in the Social Sciences and Humanities.

b) The Social Sciences and Humanities have made tremendous progress in the past two decades, in terms of expanding their methodology and conceptual approaches. While there is still much disagreement among disciplines, schools of thought, and epistemic communities, much of this is due to the logic of how academia is organised. Here, “impact” may
offer a powerful leverage to address inconsistencies and to come up with a more collaborative understanding of what is at stake, thereby ironing out many of the rather frustrating internal academic struggles.

c] Finally, the rise and productivity of the Social Sciences and Humanities have been strongly connected and inevitably shaped by the process of modernity. While this interdependence has been acknowledged, the repercussions have not fully been absorbed. Transformative science must be transformative in a double sense: wanting to exert influence in society but also open to be influenced by society and its needs. In other words, only if open two-way mutual communication channels are established, societal needs, regardless of their origins and the ways in which they are expressed, the transformative power inherent in SSH research can unfold in society. Among other, this necessitates greater openness and readiness as well as capability to involve heterogeneous groups in society, rather than remaining fixated on policy-makers.

The conference offers a unique setting to take on these various aspects, and to rethink the vital role the Social Sciences and Humanities can play in facing many of the challenges European societies are confronted with. Policy issues range: from environmental issues and behaviour, agricultural policy and consumption, technology and innovation, security, foreign relations and defence, public finances, culture and media, health, judiciary, to transport and economic sustainability. To stimulate a process of re-loading, we invited for papers from different perspectives of impact. In particular, we would like to move “impact” from a mostly defensive, albeit policy-relevant instrument to something that will become a transformative element towards a more inclusive society.

---

For the sake of orientation, Reale, E. et al. provide a definition of scientific, social and political impact, stating that “SSH research generates scientific impact when it influences the production of further research outputs following new approaches for analysis or based on new results. Changes related to social impact affect the cultural, economic, and social life of individuals, organizations, and institutions. Political impact incorporates the contents of research into political decisions, and motivations and rationales for political action and priority setting.” Reale, E. et al. (2017): A review of literature on evaluating the scientific, social and political impact of social sciences and humanities research. *Research Evaluation* 2017, 1-11, doi: 10.1093/reseval/rvx025.
ABOUT THE CONFERENCE

Impact of Social Sciences and Humanities for a European Research Agenda – Valuation of SSH in mission-oriented research

The aim of the conference is to debate and forward valuation and impact generation of Social Sciences, Humanities and arts-based research (SSH) in disciplinary, inter-disciplinary and trans-disciplinary research settings for the benefit of socially more robust Research and Innovation (R&I) policies, R&I processes and R&I solutions. It specifically aims to better address and take-up the challenges and mission-based approaches proposed by Horizon Europe.

The conference responds to several strategic developments, which were shaping recent European policy discussions, such as:

- arguments of the Lamy-Report, for instance that SSH could act as driver for some missions of Horizon Europe (and as contributor to others) and the need to develop adequate impact-oriented R&I policy designs,
- recommendations drawn up in the Mazzucato-Report to maximise the impact of Horizon Europe through mission-oriented policy,
- the Issue Paper prepared by the European Commission services for the ‘High Level Group on maximising the impact of EU research and innovation programmes’ which highlights the high policy relevance of SSH research, the very different level of integration of certain SSH disciplines and topics in other societal challenges and the uneven geographical participation,
- the claim of the European Parliament towards a broader and clear definition of impact by raising awareness on societal, cultural and long-term impacts,
- the argument of the Estonian EU Council Presidency to develop a more sophisticated and dynamic approach to impact assessment, and
- Competitiveness Council conclusions to implement a mission-oriented and impact-focused approach in FP9, to maximise the impact of results to offer advanced solutions to the challenges that our society is facing and to make research and innovation more inclusive of relevant players and citizens.

The three main thematic pillars of the conference are (1) Valuation Pathways, (2) Structures and Policies, and (3) Assessing Impact.

The Valuation Pathways pillar emphasises issues such as:

- Valuation narratives, theories of change and impact generation within genuine SSH research
- Added value creation by involving SSH in inter-disciplinary projects driven primarily by other disciplines
- Impact generating processes and methods in SSH
- Reflection of different judgments on the value-for-society of SSH and arts-based research

The Structures and Policies pillar focuses on:

- Support structures, policy-delivery formats and policies which support the valuation, outcome and impact generation of SSH research
- Re-design of existing support structures and policies
- Integration of SSH research in Horizon Europe
- Taking-up and scaling-up SSH pathways and impact dimensions in research programmes, calls for proposals and projects at national and European level

The Assessing Impact pillar brings the following aspects to the fore:

- Tracing, assessing and measuring the use and impact of SSH research activities and results
- Intended and non-intended societal impacts, structuring impacts on policy-making and policies

The topics of the three pillars of the conference are broken down in:

- 4 keynotes
- 3 panel discussions
- 7 dedicated paper sessions with 30 paper presentations
- 5 workshops
- 1 dedicated breakout dialogue session with European Foundations
- 1 poster session with 13 posters

The conference is supported by contributions from EASSH, science business, ENRESSH and ACCOMPLISH.

FOLLOW UP:

Throughout the conference, space is reserved to discuss the following products of the conference in depth:

- The ‘Working Paper on SSH Impact Pathways’
- The ‘Vade mecum on How to facilitate outcomes and impacts by integrating SSH in mission-oriented transformative research’

The aim of these products is to compile forward-looking valuation
pathways and impact approaches and dimensions of SSH research for transformative, mission-oriented research and innovation agendas, which can be taken-up in the formulation of calls and actions in Horizon Europe as well as on national level. In addition, the presented paper contributions will be published in a special conference proceedings edition of the open access fteval Journal for Research and Technology Policy Evaluation.
AUSTRIAN EU PRESIDENCY IN RTI

During the second half of 2018, Austria is holding the Presidency of the Council of the European Union for the 3rd time since becoming a member of the EU.

This third Austrian presidency, from July 2018 to December 2018, is a special point in time because Europe is facing a number of parallel challenges that are framing the Austrian Presidency:

- The proposal for the Multiannual Financial Framework 2021-2027 was published on 2 May 2018.
- The "Horizon Europe" package proposal was presented by the European Commission on 7 June 2018.
- The second half of 2018 marks the final phase of the Brexit negotiations.
- The European Parliament’s legislative term ends in March 2019, with the EP elections following in May 2019, and with a new Commission to be in place by November 2019.

The Austrian presidency priorities in the field of research, technology and innovation (RTI) are

- Negotiations on Horizon Europe, the 9th EU Framework Programme for Research and Innovation
- Council Conclusions on ERA, building on the ERAC Review of the ERA Advisory Structure
- Support for Sectoral Policies (e.g.: Defence Research, Joint Undertaking High Performance Computing, European Open Science Cloud, European University Networks Initiative etc.).

Austria’s second presidency objective deals with the European Research Area. The Council Conclusions on ERA that the Austrian Presidency will propose after the ERAC Plenary in September 2018 in Salzburg will address a number of issues, such as the outcome of the current ERA advisory structure review, strategic intelligence produced by the Commission, as well as other thematic elements, all paving the way for the future of ERA under FP9.

Due to the fact that research and innovation are playing an ever more important role in many other policy areas, the third objective of the Austrian Presidency will be to support these sectoral policies. The Austrian Presidency team in the field of research believes that the challenges of our time need modern governance, that is, more cooperation between all policy areas. This does not mean that Austria will negotiate all these items, but the Austrian Presidency intends to take an integral view and support ongoing negotiations in other Council formations in Brussels, as well as through Presidency events in Austria.

HORIZON EUROPE

Austria’s first presidency objective in the field of research are the negotiations on Horizon Europe. The Bulgarian Presidency launched the negotiations in Council in June 2018. Austria took over the Presidency on 1 July 2018 and is committed to making substantial progress in the negotiations by December 2018.

While the current (8th) EU RTD Framework Programme, Horizon 2020, will still continue to run until the end of 2020, discussions and preparations for the next (9th) Framework Programme have already been ongoing for more than a year. The name for the 9th EU Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2021-2027) is “Horizon Europe”. The European Commission (EC) published its proposal for “Horizon Europe” on 7 June 2018.

The main novelties compared to the current programme Horizon 2020 are the following:

- A new programme governance characterised by budgetary flexibility and a comprehensive strategic planning process;
- “R&I Missions” which should form sub-programmes with a portfolio of R&I actions to achieve measurable results for a given impact within a set timeframe;
- A European Innovation Council to foster breakthrough ideas and market creating innovations.

In addition efforts to decrease the innovation gap in Europe and to fully exploit the potential of Europe's talent pool are expected to be increased.

On the basis of the EC proposal, Council and EP will need to find an agreement on Horizon Europe following the ordinary legislative procedure (co-decision). In order to enable a smooth start, the new programme should be finally adopted by autumn 2020 at the latest.

Further information and background materials from the Austrian EU Presidency in RTI can be found here: era.gv.at/presidency
OVERVIEW OF THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION PROPOSAL FOR ‘HORIZON EUROPE’

Horizon Europe’s three pillars
Pillar 1 – Open Science (ERC, MSCA, Research Infrastructures)

Pillar 2 – Global Challenges and Industrial Competitiveness

Pillar 3 – Open Innovation (European Innovation Council, European innovation ecosystems, European Institute of Innovation and Technology)

Pillar 2 Global Challenges and Industrial Competitiveness
This pillar will strengthen the impact of research and innovation in developing, supporting and implementing Union policies, and support the uptake of innovative solutions in industry and society to address global challenges.

To maximise impact flexibility and synergies, research and innovation activities will be organised in five clusters, which individually and together will incentivise interdisciplinary, cross-sectoral, cross-policy, cross-border and international cooperation. Each cluster contributes towards several SDGs; and many Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are supported by more than one cluster.

The R&I activities will be implemented in and across the following Pillar 2 clusters:
1. Health
2. Inclusive and Secure Society
3. Digital and Industry
4. Climate, Energy and Mobility
5. Food and Natural Resources

Strategic Planning Process (SPP)
The Strategic Planning Process proposed by the European Commission will be an important new feature of Horizon Europe. It will define multiannual work programmes and calls for proposals. Its aim is to provide transparency and stakeholder involvement, prioritisation and flexibility to align to political priorities and programme coherence and synergies with other programmes.

Cluster ‘Health’
Improving and protecting the health of citizens at all ages, by developing innovative solutions to prevent, diagnose, monitor, treat and cure diseases; mitigating health risks, protecting populations and promoting good health; making public health systems more cost-effective, equitable and sustainable; and supporting and enabling patients’ participation and self-management.

Areas of intervention
• Health throughout the life course
• Environmental and social health determinants

Cluster ‘Inclusive and Secure Society’
Strengthening European democratic values, including rule of law and fundamental rights, safeguarding our cultural heritage, and promoting socio-economic transformations that contribute to inclusion and growth, while responding to the challenges arising from persistent security threats, including cybercrime, as well as natural and man-made disasters.

Areas of intervention
• Democracy
• Cultural heritage
• Social and economic transformations
• Disaster-resilient societies
• Protection and Security
• Cybersecurity

Cluster ‘Digital and Industry’
Reinforcing capacities and securing Europe’s sovereignty in key enabling technologies for digitisation and production, and in space technology, to build a competitive, digital, low-carbon and circular industry; ensure a sustainable supply of raw materials;
and provide the basis for advances and innovation in all global societal challenges.

**Areas of intervention**
- Manufacturing technologies
- Digital technologies
- Advanced materials
- Artificial Intelligence and robotics
- Next generation internet
- High Performance Computing and Big Data
- Circular industries
- Low carbon and clean industry
- Space

**Cluster 'Climate, Energy and Mobility'**
Fighting climate change by better understanding its causes, evolution, risks, impacts and opportunities, and by making the energy and transport sectors more climate and environment-friendly, more efficient and competitive, smarter, safer and more resilient.

**Areas of intervention**
- Climate science and solutions
- Energy supply
- Energy systems and grids
- Buildings and industrial facilities in energy transition
- Communities and cities
- Industrial competitiveness in transport
- Clean transport and mobility
- Smart mobility
- Energy storage

**Cluster 'Food and Natural Resources'**
Protecting, restoring, sustainably managing and using natural and biological resources from land and sea to address food and nutrition security and the transition to a low carbon, resource efficient circular economy.

**Areas of intervention**
- Environmental observation
- Biodiversity and natural capital
- Agriculture, forestry and rural areas
- Sea and oceans
- Food systems
- Bio-based innovation systems
- Circular systems

**ADVANCE EUROPE. TOgETHER. EUROPE'S DIVERSITY IN HARMONY**

Only a strong Europe can really make things happen – particularly in research, education, technology and innovation. This will be the emphasis of the Austrian Presidency of the EU-Council in the second half of 2018, with a special focus on harmonising the continent’s rich diversity, to promote Europe as a research powerhouse.

As a part of the initiative, a short film called “Advance Europe. Together” dramatises this through the dynamic of an orchestra, where a conductor and a group of talented musicians fuse their individual instruments to a single voice. Shot in Vienna’s Semper Depot, also chosen as the location for a Robbie Williams video, the film is both timeless and timely, using drones and other contemporary effects. The score is by respected Austrian composer Erwin Kiennast, a fixture in Vienna’s musical theatres, who has created an impressive sound track combining classical instrumentation with modern electronic tools like a synthesiser or mixing board. On screen the film cuts between the orchestra and key areas of research, showing researchers from all over Europe in the course of their everyday activities, jointly exploring innovative solutions. Together the scenes illustrate the close harmony of research, education, technology and innovation, the key message for the Austrian Council Presidency in RTI: “Let’s advance Europe. Together.”

The short film was produced by the agency GGK Mullenlowe, commissioned by and in cooperation with the Österreichische Forschungsförderungsgesellschaft FFG (Austrian Research Promotion Agency) and the FFG’s contracting authorities for supporting Austria’s performance in Horizon 2020.

In the fully accessible version of the trailer, an avatar translates the information into sign language. This version of the film was supplied by “SignTime”, an Austrian enterprise which developed the avatar using national funds (FFG base funding) and, building on this, a project in the EU Framework Programme for Research and Innovation ‘Horizon 2020’.

You can find the trailer here: youtu.be/NUAfJhlMAAY
ABOUT THE ORGANISERS

THE CONFERENCE IS ORGANISED ON BEHALF OF THE

Federal Ministry
Republic of Austria
Education, Science
and Research

Austrian Presidency of the Council of the European Union represented by the Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research (BMBWF)

with support of the
European Commission, DG Research and Innovation

by
ZSI – Centre for Social Innovation, Austria

ZSI is Austria’s most successful social scientific research organisation in FP6, FP7 and Horizon 2020. The Centre for Social Innovation is a research institute for applied social sciences which contributes to mitigating societal challenges by providing scientific evidence for decision-making and by developing concepts and practices for the implementation of interventions in different policy areas and societal fields. It was founded in 1990 as a private non-profit self-governed and independent organisation. The more than 50 employed experts are engaged in national and international projects with partners in Europe and beyond. They provide evidence-based services for ministries, municipalities, the European Commission, OECD, ILO, other public bodies and NGOs.

Management structure

The management structure for this conference is shown in the figure below. It consists of the Steering Group (SC), the Project Management Team, the Scientific Committee and the Local Advisory Board (AB).

The members of the Steering Group, composed of one delegate of the European Commission, the head of the Local Advisory Board (who is representing the Austrian EU Council Presidency), the head of the Scientific Committee and the Coordinator of this project, are responsible for the strategic positioning and supervision of the Austrian EU Council Presidency Conference on ‘Impact of Social Sciences and Humanities for a European Research Agenda – Valuation of SSH research in mission-oriented research’. The Project Management Team, which is headed by the Coordinator of the Project, has the main responsibility for the proper execution of the conference. The Coordinator is assisted at the executive level by the Scientific Committee and the Local Advisory Board for certain specific task.
SCIzENzIFIC- & ORGANISING COMMITTEE
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Chair of the Scientific Committee
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PROGRAMME
AND INFORMATION
CONFERENCE OVERVIEW

DAY 1: WEDNESDAY, November 28

08:00  REGISTRATION

09:00  WELCOME ADDRESS BY COUNCIL PRESIDENCY
       Heinz FASSMANN [Austrian Federal Minister of Education, Science and Research]

09:15  WELCOME ADDRESS AND KEYNOTE BY EUROPEAN COMMISSION
       Jean-Eric PAQUET [Director General of DG Research and Innovation, European Commission]

09:30  WELCOME ADDRESS BY THE CONFERENCE ORGANISERS
       Ulrike FELT [University of Vienna, Vice-Chair Scientific Committee]
       Klaus SCHUCH [Centre for Social Innovation]

09:45  KEYNOTE 1
       The public value of SSH
       John BREWER [Queen’s University]

10:15  PANEL 1
       Impact re-loaded: How to valuate SSH in the context of societal transformation

11:00  COFFEE BREAK

11:45  5 PARALLEL SESSIONS ON HORIZON EUROPE
       CONTRIBUTIONS OF SSH TO CLUSTERS:

       - Health
       - Food and Natural Resources
       - Digital and Industry
       - Climate, Energy and Mobility
       - “Inclusive and Secure Society” – focus “Secure Society”

13:15  LUNCH BREAK

14:15  HORIZON EUROPE
       Contributions of SSH to the topic “Inclusive and Secure Society” – focus “Inclusive Society”

ROOM ACRONYMS

K  Main auditorium = room K
FK  Foyer in front of room K
B1  Breakout Room B1
B2  Breakout Room B2
G1  Breakout Room G1
G2  Breakout Room G2
X2  Hall X2
## CONFERENCE OVERVIEW

### DAY 1: WEDNESDAY, November 28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15:15</td>
<td>KEYNOTE 2: The Role of Humanities in an innovative, sustainable, and responsible society&lt;br&gt;Ineke SLUITER [Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:45</td>
<td>AWARD OF THE BEST POSTER AND THE BEST VIDEO&lt;br&gt;Klaus SCHUCH [Centre for Social Innovation]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:15</td>
<td>COFFEE BREAK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 16:45 | 4 PARALLEL ACTIVITIES AND SESSIONS<br>
|       | A guided walk through the posters                                        |
|       | The role of private funding in science policy today. A dialogue with European Foundations (Hosted by EASSH) |
|       | SSH impact pathways to business – the example of Artificial Intelligence: the ultimate challenge for science, business and society (Hosted by Science Business) |
|       | Vade Mecum Workshop                                                     |
| 18:00 | BUS LEAVES TO DINNER – RESTAURANT „RATHAUSKELLER”                       |

**ROOM ACRONYMS**

- K: Main auditorium = room K
- FK: Foyer in front of room K
- B1: Breakout Room B1
- B2: Breakout Room B2
- G1: Breakout Room G1
- G2: Breakout Room G2
- X2: Hall X2
CONFERENCE OVERVIEW
DAY 2: THURSDAY, November 29

REGISTRATION

09:00
KEyNOTE 3
Brokers and boundary-spanners: social sciences in the new research landscape
James WILsDON [University of Sheffield]

09:30
5 PARALLEL PAPER SESSIONS

G1 Valuation pathways of social sciences – drivers, barriers, success and failures (1)
Striving for Reflexive Science
Innovation for the Reflective Society
Senior academics as key negotiators in the implementation of impact policies in the Social Sciences and Humanities

K Policies and support structures for SSH valuation and impact generation (1)
Inclusive funding for enhanced impact of Social Sciences and Humanities
The role of SSH funding bodies in creating an impact ecosystem that supports mission-oriented funding
From reforming universities to engaging with scientific controversies: the brave new world of impact assessment

G2 Taxonomies of SSH impact contributions, assessment and measurement of SSH research (1)
Evaluative Inquiry: conceptualizing evaluation as knowledge production
Assessing and Measuring Research Results Contributing to Dialogical Evidence-Based Policy by Sharing Social Impact with Citizenry
Rethinking Research Impact Assessment: A Multidimensional Approach

B1 Perspectives on SSH Research at European Level
The changing pattern of Social Sciences and Humanities in the EU framework programmes
SSH research perspectives on impact and innovation – suggestions from ALLEA for ‘Horizon Europe’ (working title)
Clear Concepts for Better Impact: Interdisciplinarity, Transdisciplinarity, and SSH Integration

B2 Thematic Valuation Cases
Assessing the Impact of SSH–RRI approach on ICT Research & Innovation: The HubIT project
Evidence-based practice & policies for impact on mental health of children and adolescents
SSH, migration and EU science diplomacy

ROOM ACRONYMS
K Main auditorium = room K
FK Foyer in front of room K
B1 Breakout Room B1
B2 Breakout Room B2
G1 Breakout Room G1
G2 Breakout Room G2
X2 Hall X2
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10:45
COFFEE BREAK

11:15
CONTINUATION OF 5 PARALLEL PAPER SESSIONS

**G1** Valuation pathways of social sciences – drivers, barriers, success and failures (2)

- New Pathways to Social Change – Creating Impact through Social Innovation Research
- Generating SSH research impact through co-creation: from theory to effective practice
- Rethinking societal impact – collaboration with stakeholders

**K** Policies and support structures for SSH valuation and impact generation (2)

- Panel composition as pathway to impact: Do we need gender expertise in panels to select research projects that include gender issues?
- Capacities and Societal Impact: The Case of Humanities
- How to establish partnerships between Arts, Social Sciences and Humanities, and the society of the 21st century?

**G2** Taxonomies of SSH impact contributions, assessment and measurement of SSH research (2)

- Stakeholders’ role to produce impact from social science research: what lessons for evaluation?
- Sustainability Impact Assessment in Higher Education – A Review of Tools and Indicators
- QRIH: comprehensive evaluation of humanities research via evidence based narrative

**B1** Organisational Strategies for Institutional Impact

- The Oulu way of sustaining social impact of SSH sciences: from linear models of impact to productive interactions and beyond.
- Ghent University’s interdisciplinary SSH-consortia – A tool to enhance the societal impact of research
- DEUSTO Research 6 i’s Model: An innovative pathway for integration of SSH and STEM in impact driven research and innovation projects.

**B2** Impact lessons from the regional and national level

- Specific SSH Research and general research policy instruments. The case of the national programs and need driven SSH research in Lithuania.
- The impact of SSH: What do we know and who cares? Lessons learned from two recent research evaluations in Norway.
- SSHA-driven Knowledge Transfer within the Third Mission of Universities.

12:30
LUNCH BREAK

ROOM ACRONYMS
- **K** Main auditorium = room K
- **FK** Foyer in front of room K
- **B1** Breakout Room B1
- **B2** Breakout Room B2
- **G1** Breakout Room G1
- **G2** Breakout Room G2
- **X2** Hall X2
CONFERENCE OVERVIEW
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13:30  PANEL 2
Which policies and instruments are needed to facilitate impacts of SSH in transformative science approaches?

14:30  4 PARALLEL WORKING GROUPS (WG)
- WG on valuation pathways (G2)
- WG on building strong knowledge exchange ecosystems to stimulate SSH valorisation (Hosted by ENRESSH) (B1)
- WG on assessment and measurement of SSH research (G1)
- ACCOMPLISSH Workshop: “De-dramatising societal impact: the nuts and bolts of co-creation and impact” (B2)

15:45  COFFEE BREAK

16:15  TAKE-AWAY MESSAGES FROM THE PARALLEL WORKSHOPS

16:45  PANEL 3
How to make better use of SSH in Horizon Europe?

17:45  FAREWELL
# DETAILED CONFERENCE PROGRAMME

## DAY 1: WEDNESDAY, November 28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09:00-09:15</td>
<td><strong>Austrian Presidency RTI trailer</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WELCOME ADDRESS BY COUNCIL PRESIDENCY:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Heinz Faßmann, Austrian Federal Minister of Education, Science and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:15-09:30</td>
<td><strong>WELCOME ADDRESS AND KEYNOTE BY EUROPEAN COMMISSION:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Jean-Eric Paquet, Director General of DG Research and Innovation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>European Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:30-09:45</td>
<td><strong>WELCOME ADDRESS BY THE CONFERENCE ORGANISERS:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Ulrike Felt, University of Vienna, Vice-Chair Scientific Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Klaus Schuch, Centre for Social Innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:45-10:15</td>
<td><strong>KEYNOTE 1:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. John Brewer, Queen’s University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15-11:00</td>
<td><strong>PANEL 1:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Impact re-loaded: How to valuate SSH in the context of societal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>transformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderator: Katja Mayer, Centre for Social Innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panelists:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John Brewer, Queen’s University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jean-Eric Paquet, European Commission, Director-General, DG Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and Innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yves Gingras, Observatory of Science and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sarah de Rijcke, Leiden University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jolanta Šinkūnienė, Associate professor at Vilnius University, Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of the Research Council of Lithuania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-11:45</td>
<td><strong>COFFEE BREAK</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Main auditorium = room K
- Foyer K
## DETAILED CONFERENCE PROGRAMME

### DAY 1: WEDNESDAY, November 28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 11:45-13:15 | **5 PARALLEL SESSIONS ON HORIZON EUROPE**<br><br><mark>Contributions of SSH to Clusters:</mark>  
  **“Health”**<br>Chair: Milena Žic Fuchs, University of Zagreb<br>Rosanna D’Amario, European Commission, DG Research and Innovation<br>Horst Krämer, European Commission, DG for Communications Networks, Content and Technology, Smart Mobility & Living<br>Jarostaw Waligóra, European Commission, DG Health and Food Safety  
  Breakout room G1  
  **“Food and Natural Resources”**<br>Chair: Carina Keskitalo, Umeå University<br>Davide Amato, European Commission, DG Research and Innovation  
  Breakout room G2  
  **“Digital and Industry”**<br>Chair: Evelyn Ruppert, Goldsmiths University<br>Nicholas Deliyanakis, European Commission, DG Research and Innovation  
  Main auditorium = room K  
  **“Climate, Energy and Mobility”**<br>Chair: Poul Holm, Trinity College<br>Gerd Schönwalder, European Commission, DG Research and Innovation  
  Breakout room B1  
  **“Inclusive and Secure Society” – focus “Secure Society”**<br>Chair: Andrea Pető, Central European University<br>Anabela Gago, European Commission, DG Migration and Home Affairs  
  Breakout room B2  
| 13:15-14:15 | **LUNCH BREAK**  
  Hall X2  
| 14:15-15:15 | **Horizon Europe**<br><mark>Contributions of SSH to the topic “Inclusive and Secure Society” – focus “Inclusive Society”</mark>  
  Chair: Alice Dijkstra, Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research<br>Harald Hartung, European Commission, DG Research and Innovation  
  Main auditorium = room K  
| 15:15-15:45 | **KEYNOTE 2: The Role of Humanities in an innovative, sustainable, and responsible society**<br>Ineke Sluiter, Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences  
  Main auditorium = room K |
### DETAILED CONFERENCE PROGRAMME

#### DAY 1: WEDNESDAY, November 28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15:45-16:15</td>
<td>AWARD OF THE BEST POSTER AND THE BEST VIDEO</td>
<td>Main auditorium = room K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Klaus Schuch</strong>, Centre for Social Innovation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:15-16:45</td>
<td>COFFEE BREAK</td>
<td>Foyer K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:45-17:45</td>
<td>4 PARALLEL ACTIVITIES AND SESSIONS</td>
<td>Foyer K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>A guided walk through the posters</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Chaired and guided by</em>* Frank Welz, University of Innsbruck*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:45-17:45</td>
<td><em>The role of private funding in science policy today. A dialogue with</em></td>
<td>Breakout room G1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>European Foundations (Hosted by EASSH)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Organised by</em>* Gabi Lombardo**, Director of EASSH*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Chaired by:</em>* Poul Holm, Trinity College*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Discussants:</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valentina Amorese, Cariplo Foundation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alex Hansen, University of Navarra</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eva Stensköld, Swedish Riksbankens Jubileumsfond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:00-17:30</td>
<td><strong>SSH impact pathways to business – the example of Artificial</strong></td>
<td>Main auditorium = room K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Intelligence: the ultimate challenge for science, business and society</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Hosted by Science Business)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Moderated by</em>* Monica Dietl**, Science</td>
<td>Business*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Discussants:</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walter Weigel, Vice-President, Huawei European Research Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Harald Leitenmüller, Chief Technology Officer, Microsoft Austria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pekka Sivonen, Executive Director, Business Finland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oliver Grau, Chair of Europe Policy Committee, ACM Europe Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:00-18:30</td>
<td><strong>Vade Mecum Workshop</strong></td>
<td>Breakout room B2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Chaired and moderated by</em>* Thomas König, Institute for Advanced**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Studies</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Discussant:</em> Martina Kadunc, European Commission, DG Research and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Innovation</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:00-21:30</td>
<td><strong>DINNER AT THE RESTAURANT “RATHAUSKELLER”</strong></td>
<td>City Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(located in the City Hall; only for participants who also registered for the dinner)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Dinner speech: Thinking beyond the horizon: Social Science and</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Humanities in contemporary research and policy timescapes</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ulrike Felt, University of Vienna</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MODERATOR:** Elke Dall, Centre for Social Innovation
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AS OF 08:00 | REGISTRATION

09:00-09:30 | KEYNOTE 3:  
**Brokers and boundary-spanners: social sciences in the new research landscape**  
James Wilsdon, University of Sheffield

09:30-10:45 | 5 PARALLEL PAPER SESSIONS

Valuation Pathways of SSH – Drivers, Barriers, Successes and Failures (1)  
Chair: Stefania Milan, University of Oslo & University of Amsterdam  
Discussant: Jürgen Howaldt, TU Dortmund

**First presentation:** Striving for Reflexive Science  
Presenter: Roger Strand, University of Bergen

**Second presentation:** Innovation for the Reflective Society  
Presenter: Riccardo Pozzo, University of Verona

**Third presentation:** Senior academics as key negotiators in the implementation of impact policies in the Social Sciences and Humanities  
Presenter: Marc Vanholsbeeck, Free University of Brussels

Policies and Support Structures for SSH Valuation and Impact Generation (1)  
Chair: Jack Spaapen, Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences  
Discussant: Evelyn Ruppert, Goldsmiths University

**First presentation:** Inclusive funding for enhanced impact of Social Sciences and Humanities  
Presenter: Malin Lindberg, Luleå University of Technology

**Second presentation:** The role of SSH funding bodies in creating an impact ecosystem that supports mission-oriented funding  
Presenter: Melanie Knetsch, Economic & Social Research Council

Main auditorium = room K

Breakout room G1
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---

**27**

*Third presentation: From reforming universities to engaging with scientific controversies: the brave new world of impact assessment*

*Presenter: Basudeb Chaudhuri, European Commission, DG Research and Innovation*

---

**28**

*TAXONOMIES OF SSH IMPACT CONTRIBUTIONS, ASSESSMENT AND MEASUREMENT OF SSH RESEARCH (1)*

*Chair: Emanuela Reale, National Research Council*

*Discussant: Yves Gingras, Observatory of Science and Technology*

---

**29**

*First presentation: Evaluative Inquiry: conceptualizing evaluation as knowledge production*

*Presenters: Wolfgang Kaltenbrunner, Technical University of Munich, and Tjitske Holtrop, Leiden University*

---

**30**

*Second presentation: Assessing and Measuring Research Results Contributing to Dialogical Evidence-Based Policy by Sharing Social Impact with Citizenry*

*Presenter: Vladia Ionescu, University of Barcelona*

---

**31**

*Third presentation: Rethinking Research Impact Assessment: A Multidimensional Approach*

*Presenters: Bradley Good, Free University Amsterdam and Sergio Manriques, Autonomous University of Barcelona*

---

**32**

*PERCEPTIONS ON SSH RESEARCH AT EUROPEAN LEVEL*

*Chair: Nicolas Dromel, French Ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation*

*Discussant: Stephanie Rammel, Austrian Research Promotion Agency*

---

**33**

*First presentation: The changing pattern of Social Sciences and Humanities in the EU framework programmes*

*Presenter: Ülle Must, Estonian Research Council*

---

**34**

*Second presentation: SSH research perspectives on impact and innovation – suggestions from ALLEA for ‘Horizon Europe’ (working title)*

*Presenter: John Bell, University of Cambridge, ALLEA*
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35
Third presentation: Clear Concepts for Better Impact: Interdisciplinarity, Transdisciplinarity, and SSH Integration
Presenter: Joël Graf, Euresearch

36
THEMATIC VALUATION CASES
Chair: Vania Virgili, National Institute for Nuclear Physics
Discussant: Michaela Glanz, Academy of Fine Arts Vienna

First presentation: Assessing the Impact of SSH–RRI approach on ICT Research & Innovation: The HubIT project
Presenters: Tal Soffer, Tel Aviv University and Olena Nedozhogina, University of Tartu

37
Second presentation: Evidence-based practice & policies for impact on mental health of children and adolescents
Presenters: Raphaela Kaisler and Jean Paul, Ludwig Boltzmann Gesellschaft

38
Third presentation: SSH, migration and EU science diplomacy
Presenter: Laura Hetel, European Commission, DG Research and Innovation

10:45-11:15 COFFEE BREAK
Foyer K

11:15-12:30 CONTINUATION OF 5 PARALLEL PAPER SESSIONS

40
VALUATION PATHWAYS OF SOCIAL SCIENCES – DRIVERS, BARRIERS, SUCCESS AND FAILURES (2)
Chair: Claudio Radaelli, University College London
Discussant: Andrea Pető, Central European University

41
First presentation: New Pathways to Social Change – Creating Impact through Social Innovation Research
Presenter: Jürgen Howaldt, TU Dortmund

42
Second presentation: Generating SSH research impact through co-creation: from theory to effective practice
Presenters: Julie Carlier and Stefan Meysman, Ghent University

43
Third presentation: Rethinking societal impact – collaboration with stakeholders
Presenter: Bettina Uhrig, Oslo Metropolitan University
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POLICIES AND SUPPORT STRUCTURES FOR SSH VALUATION AND IMPACT GENERATION (2)
Chair: Olivier Bouin, Network of French Institutes for Advanced Study
Discussant: Johannes Vogel, Museum for Natural History Berlin

First presentation: Panel composition as pathway to impact: Do we need gender expertise in panels to select research projects that include gender issues?
Presenters: Peter van den Besselaar, Free University Amsterdam and Ulf Sandström, KTH Royal Institute of Technology

Second presentation: Capacities and Societal Impact: The Case of Humanities
Presenters: Eiríkur Smári Sigurðarson and Elsa Haraldsdóttir, University of Iceland

Third presentation: ETA PROGRAMME: How to establish partnerships between Arts, Social Sciences and Humanities, and the society of the 21st century?
Presenter: Marcel Kraus, Technology Agency of the Czech Republic

TAXONOMIES OF SSH IMPACT CONTRIBUTIONS, ASSESSMENT AND MEASUREMENT OF SSH RESEARCH (2)
Chair: Milena Žic Fuchs, University of Zagreb
Discussant: Tereza Stöckelová, Czech Academy of Sciences

First presentation: Stakeholders’ role to produce impact from social science research: what lessons for evaluation?
Presenter: Emanuela Reale, Research Institute on Sustainable Economic Growth

Second presentation: Sustainability Impact Assessment in Higher Education – A Review of Tools and Indicators
Presenters: Florian Findler and Barbara Stacherl, Vienna University of Economics and Business

Third presentation: QRiH: comprehensive evaluation of humanities research via evidence based narrative
Presenters: Jack Spaapen, Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences and Ad Prins, Support in ResearchManagement
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52

ORGANISATIONAL STRATEGIES FOR INSTITUTIONAL IMPACT

Chair: Maja Vehovec, The Institute of Economics, Zagreb
Discussant: Jaak Aaviksoo, TallTech University

First presentation: The Oulu way of sustaining social impact of SSH sciences: from linear models of impact to productive interactions and beyond
Presenter: Juha Tuunainen, University of Oulu

Second presentation: Ghent University’s interdisciplinary SSH-consortia – A tool to enhance the societal impact of research
Presenter: Noel Klima, Ghent University

Third presentation: DEUSTO Research 6 i’s Model: An innovative pathway for integration of SSH and STEM in impact driven research and innovation projects
Presenter: Antonia Caro González, University of Deusto

54

IMPACT LESSONS FROM THE REGIONAL AND NATIONAL LEVEL

Chair: Michael Sondermann, German Federal Ministry of Education and Research
Discussant: Monika Mokre, Austrian Academy of Sciences

First presentation: Specific SSH Research and general research policy instruments. The case of the national programs and need driven SSH research in Lithuania
Presenter: Rūta Petrauskaitė, Vytautos Magnus University

Second presentation: The impact of SSH: What do we know and who cares? Lessons learned from two recent research evaluations in Norway
Presenter: Jon Holm, Research Council of Norway

Third presentation: SSHA-driven Knowledge Transfer within the Third Mission of Universities
Presenter: Georg Russegger, Academy of Fine Arts Vienna

12:30-13:30 LUNCH BREAK

58
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13:30-14:30

PANEL 2: Which policies and instruments are needed to facilitate impacts of SSH in transformative science approaches?
Moderator: Matthias Reiter-Pázmándy, Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research
Panelists:
Carina Keskitalo, Umeå University
Kirsten Langkilde, University for Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland
James Wilsdon, University of Sheffield
Corinna Amting, European Commission, Research Executive Agency, Inclusive, Innovative and Reflective Societies

14:30-15:45

4 PARALLEL WORKING GROUPS (WG)

WG on valuation pathways
Chair: Johannes Vogel, Museum for Natural History Berlin
Impulse statement: Katja Mayer, Centre for Social Innovation
Rapporteur: David Budtz Pedersen, Humanomics Research Centre, Department of Communication & Psychology

WG on building strong knowledge exchange ecosystems to stimulate SSH valorisation (Hosted by ENRESSH)
Round-table discussion with chair: Paul Benneworth, University of Twente, Leader of the Working Group on SSH Research Impact in ENRESSH
Discussants:
Wiljan van den Akker, Utrecht University
Gemma Derrick, Lancaster University
Jon Holm, Research Council of Norway
Zorana Barišić, Ministry of Science and Education of Republic of Croatia
Natasa Jermen, Miroslav Krleža Institute of Lexicography
Rapporteur: Christoph Köller, Görgen & Köller GmbH

WG on assessment and measurement of SSH research
Chair: Marta Soler, University of Barcelona
Impulse statement: Antonia Caro González, University of Deusto
Rapporteur: Christian Fleck, University of Graz

ACCOMPLISSH Workshop: “De-dramatising societal impact: the nuts and bolts of co-creation and impact”
Chaired and moderated by Jonas Stier, Dalarna University College and Sharon Eugenie Smit, University of Groningen

Main auditorium = room K

Breakout room G2

Breakout room B1

Breakout room G1

Breakout room B2
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15:45-16:15 COFFEE BREAK

16:15-16:45 TAKE-AWAY MESSAGES FROM THE PARALLEL WORKSHOPS

16:45-17:45 PANEL 3: How to make better use of SSH in Horizon Europe?
   Moderator: Thomas König, Institute for Advanced Studies
   Panelists:
   Harald Hartung, European Commission, DG Research and Innovation
   Jürgen Howaldt, TU Dortmund
   Angela Liberatore, European Research Council Executive Agency
   Tereza Stöckelová, Czech Academy of Sciences
   Harald Leitenmüller, Chief Technology Officer, Microsoft Austria
   N.N. – free chair to include ad hoc contributions from the audience

17:45-18:00 FAREWELL
   Matthias Reiter-Pázmándy, Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research

MODERATORS:
Elke Dall, Centre for Social Innovation
Matthias Reiter-Pázmándy, Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research
GENERAL INFORMATION
CONFERENCE SITE FLOOR PLANS
KEYNOTES, PANELS, SESSIONS DETAILS
KEYNOTES, PANELS, SESSIONS
DAY 1: WEDNESDAY, November 28

WELCOME ADDRESS
by Council Presidency

Heinz Faßmann
Austrian Federal Minister of Education, Science and Research

WELCOME ADDRESS AND KEYNOTE
by European Commission

Jean-Eric Paquet
Director General of DG Research and Innovation, European Commission

WELCOME ADDRESS
by the Conference Organisers

Ulrike Felt
University of Vienna, Vice-Chair Scientific Committee

Klaus Schuch
Centre for Social Innovation
KEYNOTES, PANELS, SESSIONS
DAY 1: WEDNESDAY, November 28

KEYNOTE 1
The public value of SSH

09:45 - 10:15
Main auditorium = room K

John Brewer
Queen’s University

The lecture will introduce three types of public value – use, price and normative value – as they apply to the social sciences, and will argue that the social sciences are easily able to demonstrate very high value. The lecture will focus primarily on normative public value, highlighting the social sciences as a form of public or common good essential to the reproduction of society itself. This will be contrasted with narrow debates about the impact of the social sciences, which so dominates British higher education policy. The lecture will discuss the potential for social sciences to have very high impact but will argue that impact is also the wrong way to assess their public value. The limitations of impact will be highlighted compared to the potential of what the lecture calls ‘the new public social science’. Attention will be drawn to the research, teaching, and civic engagement dimensions of the new public social science, as well as to the contribution the new public social science makes to the public or common good.

PANEL 1
Impact re-loaded: How to valuate SSH in the context of societal transformation

10:15 - 11:00
Main auditorium = room K

Katja Mayer
Moderator
Centre for Social Innovation

John Brewer
Panelist
Queen’s University

Jean-Eric Paquet
Panelist
European Commission, DG Research and Innovation
Reflecting the transformative relationship between Societies and Social Sciences and Humanities has a long tradition. Since their inception, scholarly fields that would now be counted under the label SSH have brought about change and have co-shaped the political and cultural arenas. However, the use of the term “impact” has often either acquired a defensive tone or was dismissed as the political instrument of an excessive audit culture.

Therefore, re-thinking the term “impact” means to “reload” it with a renewed sense of responsibility reflecting a different self-image of the role and position of SSH in society. It is about the transformation that society is undergoing as much as about the transformative power inherent in knowledge, technology and policy. This understanding of “impact” is not limited to the instrumental “use value” that SSH research provides for certain user groups, but is wide-ranging through the implicit embeddedness of SSH within society.

The panel will discuss the roles SSH can play and the responsibilities SSH can take on in facing many of the challenges European societies are confronted with. How can SSH establish robust transformative practices in a double sense: exerting influence in society and remain open to influence from society? What conditions are necessary to both strengthen and critically engage with the transformative power of SSH? How do we realise the public value[s] of SSH?
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5 PARALLEL SESSIONS ON HORIZON EUROPE
Contributions of SSH to Clusters 11:45 - 13:15

HEALTH 11:45 - 13:15
Breakout room G1

Milena Žic Fuchs, Chair
University of Zagreb

Rosanna D’Amario, European Commission, DG Research and Innovation
Horst Krämer, European Commission, DG for Communications Networks, Content and Technology, Smart Mobility & Living
Jarosław Waligóra, European Commission, DG Health and Food Safety

FOOD AND NATURAL RESOURCES 11:45 - 13:15
Breakout room G2

Carina Keskitalo, Chair
Umeå University

Davide Amato, European Commission, DG Research and Innovation

DIGITAL AND INDUSTRY 11:45 - 13:15
Main auditorium = room K

Evelyn Ruppert, Chair
Goldsmiths University

Nicholas Deliyanakis, European Commission, DG Research and Innovation
# KEYNOTES, PANELS, SESSIONS
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KEYNOTE 2
The Role of Humanities in an innovative, sustainable, and responsible society
15:15 - 15:45
Main auditorium = room K

Ineke Sluiter
Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences

In our quickly changing society we face issues of multiculturalism and migration, innovation and sustainability, health and safety. The Humanities provide tools for critical thinking, historical and cultural perspective-taking, and contextualisation. Their contributions should be integrated into the overall design of research projects, also from other scientific domains.
The interdisciplinary research program ‘Anchoring Innovation’ is a good example, which also allows reflection on odd features of current thinking about valorisation. I will oppose this to the most important form of valorisation of the Humanities: teaching.
In order to successfully implement innovations they need to speak to the conceptual framework, concerns and values of a relevant societal group: they need to be ‘anchored’. Students of classical Greco-Roman antiquity are showing the importance of this notion, not just for new technology, but for all societal domains. The program combines fundamental research in Classics with societal valorisation. There is a lot to be learned about viable and unproductive concepts of valorisation from this example, as I will show.
One underappreciated aspect of valorisation is teaching. Researchers embody and model for their students the research skills, attitudes and values that coincide to a large degree with core values and general aims of academic teachings: finding information, critical analysis and evaluation of sources and data. The students exposed to these forms of research-informed teaching will become the critical citizens our dynamic and complex societies need.

AWARD OF THE BEST POSTER AND THE BEST VIDEO
15:45 - 16:15
Main auditorium = room K

Klaus Schuch
Centre for Social Innovation
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4 PARALLEL ACTIVITIES AND SESSIONS 16:45 - 17:45

A GUIDED WALK THROUGH THE POSTERS 16:45 - 17:45
Foyer K

Frank Welz
University of Innsbruck
Chair

Please find detailed information about the posters at pages 70-79.

THE ROLE OF PRIVATE FUNDING IN SCIENCE POLICY TODAY. A DIALOGUE WITH EUROPEAN FOUNDATIONS (Hosted by EASSH) 16:45 - 17:45
Breakout room G1

Gabi Lombardo
Director of EASSH
Organiser

Poul Holm
Trinity College
Chair

Eva Stensköld
Swedish Riksbankens Jubileumsfond
Discussant

Valentina Amorese
Cariplo Foundation
Discussant

Alex Hansen
University of Navarra
Discussant
In Pathways to impact from SSH research

The European Alliance for SSH (EASSH) would like to invite you for a workshop to discuss how to shape the research agenda in Europe to ensure that the social dimension of the challenges the EU faces is at the heart of European investment in research. In just the last few years, we have plenty of examples of how social changes are disrupting national and international politics and as a consequence making new demands of the institutions – like the European Union – which have helped to provide stability. We see this as a critical moment to bring together the main public funders and private funders of research with the research performers to influence the research agenda. This is also the time when the EU is finalising its thinking on the research framework programme – Horizon Europe. The new programme provides an opportunity to encourage partnerships between research funders and research performers in identifying the major social issues we face, and creating co-funded research programmes to support SSH researchers.

Europe has a large and active scholarly SSH community and must take full advantage of this strength and draw on them to address the complex and urgent challenges Europe as a society faces. Furthermore, SSH research relies increasingly on cross-disciplinary teamwork and infrastructure, which is no less expensive than the vast majority of research in the natural sciences. We think that it is time to rethink the accepted models for investing in research which assumes that SSH research is cheaper and does not require investment in long-term ‘infrastructure’ in the same way as for technical and medical sciences. We would like to call on private foundations for a wider assessment of this trend and how it may affect future funding needs – and discuss how to set a new agenda for public-private partnerships in SSH funding.
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The European Alliance for SSH (EASSH) would like to invite you for a workshop to discuss how to shape the research agenda in Europe to ensure that the social dimension of the challenges the EU faces is at the heart of European investment in research. In just the last few years, we have plenty of examples of how social changes are disrupting national and international politics and as a consequence making new demands of the institutions – like the European Union – which have helped to provide stability. We see this as a critical moment to bring together the main public funders and private funders of research with the research performers to influence the research agenda. This is also the time when the EU is finalising its thinking on the research framework programme – Horizon Europe. The new programme provides an opportunity to encourage partnerships between research funders and research performers in identifying the major social issues we face, and creating co-funded research programmes to support SSH researchers.

Europe has a large and active scholarly SSH community and must take full advantage of this strength and draw on them to address the complex and urgent challenges Europe as a society faces. Furthermore, SSH research relies increasingly on cross-disciplinary teamwork and infrastructure, which is no less expensive than the vast majority of research in the natural sciences. We think that it is time to rethink the accepted models for investing in research which assumes that SSH research is cheaper and does not require investment in long-term ‘infrastructure’ in the same way as for technical and medical sciences. We would like to call on private foundations for a wider assessment of this trend and how it may affect future funding needs – and discuss how to set a new agenda for public-private partnerships in SSH funding.

SSH IMPACT PATHWAYS TO BUSINESS – THE EXAMPLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: THE ULTIMATE CHALLENGE FOR SCIENCE, BUSINESS AND SOCIETY

[Hosted by Science|Business]

16:45 - 17:45
Main auditorium = room K

Monica Dietl
Science|Business
Moderator

Walter Weigel
Huawei European Research Institute
Discussant

Harald Leitenmüller
Microsoft Austria
Discussant

17
Artificial Intelligence is everywhere. It’s now in our daily life and it has become an unavoidable reality in business. But the technology used is still in its infancy and the ongoing advances in AI are raising some thorny ethical and legal issues for both software developers and the organisations employing these systems. What is the role of Social Sciences and Humanities for companies, and workers, in making a smart and responsible use of the data deluge in the workplace? How should SSH research evolve to have a greater impact on business and other organisations? Science|Business is presenting an interactive panel discussion looking at the strategies companies, governments and researchers are pursuing as they try to integrate the power of AI into society and the economy.

One main result of our conference is a short written document (“vade mecum”) on how to integrate SSH in mission-oriented transformative research. It addresses stakeholders, such as research funding organisations and policy-makers, with a range of policy recommendations, particularly how to take up impact approaches and impact dimensions of SSH research in the formulation of calls and decision-making procedures. A draft version of the document will be available before the conference; the workshop gives the opportunity to comment on the draft and to discuss dissemination of the finalised document.
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KEYNOTE 3
Brokers and boundary-spanners: social sciences in the new research landscape

James Wilsdon
University of Sheffield

Europe’s research landscape is changing, as agendas for impact, interdisciplinarity, openness and mission-oriented research receive fresh emphasis alongside traditional criteria of quality and excellence. This poses challenges for SSH – how to reconcile competing priorities, and build new capacities and networks – but also huge opportunities. Across the economy, policy and civil society, the impacts of SSH are felt in diverse and often unpredictable ways. Universities are now more sophisticated in the way that they support and track these impacts. Governments and funders increasingly recognise and reward them. But there’s still a long way to go.

As a community, we need to get sharper at articulating our impacts; we need to do more to link the design of research programmes to the needs and priorities of society; we need more diverse and responsible metrics and management systems; and we need to extend and deepen our partnerships with the sciences and engineering.

And globally, as investment, collaboration and competition in research intensify, we also need to be clear about the critical and distinctive assets that SSH bring. In this talk, James Wilsdon will set out an emerging agenda for SSH as „brokers and boundary-spanners“ within Horizon Europe and internationally.

5 PARALLEL PAPER SESSIONS

VALUATION PATHWAYS OF SOCIAL SCIENCES-DRIVERS, BARRIERS, SUCCESS AND FAILURES (PART 1)

Stefania Milan
University of Oslo & University of Amsterdam

Chair

Jürgen Howaldt
TU Dortmund

Discussant
The value of reflexivity has repeatedly been mobilised in claims for SSH involvement in STEM research. In short (if not in caricature), the policy narrative goes like this: Scientists, scientific practices, the governance of science and indeed modern society should become more reflective/reflexive. This can be achieved by involving SSH, which are inherently reflexive.

In this paper, I will follow this narrative from the ELSI/ELSA policies and practices of the 1990s and 2000s, to the concept of Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI) and the "need to integrate" SSH with STEM to address societal challenges in Horizon 2020. Drawing upon my experience as an SSH practitioner in ELSA, RRI and Societal Challenges-focused interdisciplinary collaborations, I shall propose some lessons learnt. One key lesson is the need to go beyond the simple policy narrative "SSH makes science more reflexive" and the many disappointments that it invariably produces. Another key lesson is the need to go beyond simple dichotomies between SSH research and scholarship on one hand and non-SSH research on the other.

"Cultural innovation" sounds like an oxymoron. It is not, though. It is something real that tops up social and technological innovation. How can we measure "cultural innovation"? The answer is, as a result of co-creation. Items of cultural innovation are: content providers such as museums, science centres and libraries, as well as processes triggered by issues such as cosmopolitanism, inclusiveness, mobility, migration, heritage and creativity. Valuating the impact is fundamental to improve societal acceptance of public investment in culture, because these measurements may provide a basis for aligning research and innovation with the values, needs and expectations of society. In recent years, it has become clear that co-creation plays a central role within open innovation, because a "specific innovation can no longer be seen as the result of predefined and isolated innovation activities but rather as the outcome of a complex co-creation process involving knowledge flows across the entire economic and social environment" (Open Science, Open Innovation, Open to the World. EC 2016). The paper offers migration relate case studies for evaluating the impact of cultural innovation in societies that aim at being innovative, reflective and inclusive.
This policy-oriented academic paper aims at understanding the perception of senior European academics in the social sciences in regards to the rationales and criteria currently used to assess research. In particular, we investigate the extent to which they consider that impact should be taken into account, in a context in which bibliometric indicators have had a growing usage both at European and national level, tending to favour publication in international top journals. Through qualitative interviews conducted in eight countries (Belgium, Croatia, Cyprus, Finland, Island, Lithuania, Poland and Slovenia), we show the diversity of scholars’ attitudes towards the changes they perceive - from support through resilience and even resistance -, taking into consideration the specificities of the local contexts into which new public management tools and practices have been implemented. Furthermore, we question scholars’ role in the production, dissemination and implementation of the abovementioned rationales and criteria, considering senior academics as important drivers of change for developing further impact policies in the Social Sciences and the Humanities.
First presentation: Inclusive funding for enhanced impact of Social Sciences and Humanities

Malin Lindberg
Luleå University of Technology

In order to expand the knowledge on how to support impact, innovation, commercialisation and knowledge transfer of Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH) research in society, this paper explores digitalised tools for inclusive funding of research and innovation (R&I), developed by four national agencies and a national SSH organisation in Sweden. The agencies shared the same ambition to promote sustainable transformation in society through their R&I funding, as well as the correspondent struggle to attract a broader range of SSH researchers to apply for their funding. Firstly, the agencies identified excluding and including mechanisms towards SSH-researchers in their processes of initiating, designing and implementing calls for funding applications. Secondly, they designed tools for inclusive R&I funding, conceptualised in three main steps: 1) What do we miss out?, 2) What are the reasons?, 3) What can we do?. For each of these steps, guiding questions were formulated, pinpointing crucial barriers and potentials in the call process. Despite the tools’ universal design – applicable in funding agencies both in Sweden and internationally – interference with established organisational norms and approaches may arise as new target groups are approached and revised criteria are applied.

Second presentation: The role of SSH funding bodies in creating an impact ecosystem that supports mission-oriented funding

Melanie Knetsch
Economic and Social Research Council

This presentation will consider a new perspective on impact, building on the concept of the ‘research ecosystem’ to introduce the concept of an impact ecosystem. She will offer reflections on their policies, processes, methods and activities of funders can enable knowledge production, knowledge dissemination and support knowledge usage to increase relevance, outcome and impact of research. She will also consider ways that funders have a key role in supporting this ecosystem to thrive, and the need for longer term support to ensure that institutions can develop a sustainable model to support excellent knowledge exchange and impact. It is hoped that the insights generated from this session will lead to a greater coherent understanding of the role of social science and humanities funders have in the creation of a sustainable impact ecosystem.
This paper will be an analytical review assessing questions of societal impact and some (but not all) dimensions of economic impact, related in particular to the role of universities, the space of scientific controversies and the shaping of public opinion and the long-term consequences of these effects.

According to the Lamy Group, a fundamental reform of the role of education should systematically embed innovation and entrepreneurship in education across Europe. An ambitious proposal of developing European universities with this objective is presented in the Horizon Europe Programme. In the first part of the paper, we will examine the conditions under which this programme of transformation could involve the larger European university system and not just a relatively small number of leading universities, and the potential impact of such an evolution.

In the second part of the paper, we shall discuss the problem of 'scientific controversies' in the assessment of impacts, choosing in particular the topics of migration studies, health and nutrition, and economic inequalities. We shall argue that ability of Social Sciences and Humanities to bring scientific controversies into the domain of public deliberation creates a substantial societal impact that needs to be highlighted.
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*First presentation: Evaluative Inquiry: conceptualizing evaluation as knowledge production*

Wolfgang Kaltenbrunner
*Technical University of Munich*

Tjitske Holtrop
*Leiden University*

The notion of impact suggests an interaction of which the source, target and content are clearly identifiable. Traditional frameworks for evaluation tend to focus on whether and to what extent such impact is achieved. The concept of „evaluative inquiry”, as proposed here, revises this linear notion of impact as the central precept of research evaluation. Instead, evaluative inquiry reveals the epistemic commitments and community values of local practices. Evaluative inquiry thus essentially approaches evaluation as a knowledge production process. From this starting point, we outline a reflexive approach to evaluation that sees the relevance of scientific work as an unfolding process, in which a variety of academic and non-academic actors are involved. This approach emphasises process and engagement rather than accounting and ranking. Crucially, evaluative inquiry identifies values, networks of people, and resources as collectives. It thus helps articulate how ‘worlds’ are created and negotiated in relation to these values.

*Second presentation: Assessing and Measuring Research Results Contributing to Dialogical Evidence-Based Policy by Sharing Social Impact with Citizenry*

Vladia Ionescu
*University of Barcelona*

During this paper session, Ms. Vladia Ionescu will focus on the collaboration between two open platforms, the Social Impact Open Repository (SIOR) and Wikipedia. The aim is to provide evidence of how through the assessment and measure of research results, SIOR can contribute to dialogical evidence-based policy by sharing social impact with citizenry. During the presentation, first we will highlight the purpose of the paper which entails how dialogical evidence-based policy gives better results when social impact is considered, and it is visible for all type
of publics. Second, we will explain the methodology used by delving into the European project “IMPACT-EV. Evaluating the impact and outcomes of EU SSH research” (FP7, EC) and the national project “Accessing the Social Impact of Science – New dialogues with citizens” (Spanish Foundation for Science and Technology). Last, we will show how the collaborative project with Wikipedia works and the ways in which it makes social impact of research projects visible to all audiences, academic and non-academic, emphasising the role of SIOR.

**Third presentation: Rethinking Research Impact Assessment: A Multidimensional Approach**

**Bradley Good**  
*Free University Amsterdam*

**Sergio Manrique**  
*Autonomous University of Barcelona*

Interest in the evaluation of research impact (the influence of scientific research beyond academia) is observable worldwide. Several countries have introduced national research assessment systems including “impact”. So far, research has focused on the practicalities of different existing policies. But introducing a new element of evaluation gives rise not only to challenges of a practical nature, but also to important ethical consequences in terms of academic identity, reflexivity, power structures, etc. To address these questions, we propose a multidimensional model that considers different attributes of research impact: responsiveness, accessibility, reflexivity, ecology and adaptability. This holistic, multidimensional model of evaluation, designed for self-assessment, recognises the qualities a project has on these different scales, in a broader perspective, rather than offering a simple numerical assessment. This model addresses many of the ethical dilemmas that accompany conducting impact-producing research. To exemplify the usefulness of the proposed approach, the authors provide real-life research project assessment examples conducted with the use of the model.
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Stephanie Rammel
Austrian Research Promotion Agency

First presentation: The changing pattern of Social Sciences and Humanities in the EU framework programmes

Ülle Must
Estonian Research Council

The aim of this paper is to monitor and analyse the evolution (or overlapping) of the SSH thematic pattern through the three framework programmes since 2002. To conduct the survey, we used textual analysis as one way to track the changes in the framework programme over time. Some results: lexical density of work programmes of different FPs has declined over the years. Overlapping words reflect the core vocabulary which does not change over time, and we can monitor the frequency of its use. Also the introduction of new words/terms into work programmes is monitored. The words used more often in work programmes and projects generally do not coincide.

Second presentation: SSH research perspectives on impact and innovation – suggestions from ALLEA for 'Horizon Europe' (working title)

John Bell
ALLEA – European Federation of Academies of Sciences and Humanities, University of Cambridge

Based on a revision of the proposals for the regulation and specific programme of the forthcoming European Framework Programme for Research and Innovation presented by the European Commission on 7 June 2018, the ALLEA Framework Programme 9 Working Group will present its ideas on how Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH) research could be better integrated and put forward suggestions for collaborative research and innovation as a main line of engendering change and securing competitiveness. By engaging with the concepts of innovation and impact, the Working Group promotes an understanding of innovation as a factor to transform society and calls for a conceptualisation of impact that is taking wider social, cultural and political developments into account. Last but not least, this is followed by some practical suggestions for potential missions and ways of implementation.
The European Commission has made a strong commitment to integrate the Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH) across Horizon 2020. The aim is to enhance the impact of activities tackling societal challenges. However, the question on how such an “SSH integration” should happen in practice still offers room for discussion. Therefore, this presentation focuses on the methodological challenges of bringing SSH into collaborative Horizon 2020 projects. It emphasises that SSH integration is a special case of inter- and transdisciplinarity, since different scientific disciplines as well as non-academic stakeholders are involved in the research process. Taking inter- and transdisciplinary expertise more systematically into account may thus contribute to both better proposals and improved project implementation.
The development of information and communication technologies (ICT) introduces radical changes in our lives. They provide answers to a multitude of people needs, while at the same time increasing the concern about their actual threats and societal impacts. This calls for adopting a responsible research and innovation perspective in the process of developing ICT solutions. This paper presents preliminary results of the social impact assessment (SIA) plan and tools, that were developed within the EU funded HubIT project. We employed both, quantitative and qualitative ethnographic tools (e.g. survey questionnaire and observations). The project aimed to create an ecosystem that would encourage interactions between ICT developers and Social Sciences and Humanities researchers that will assure that ICT development takes into account societal needs. First results indicate an increase in understanding and awareness of the SSH-RRI approach among SSH and ICT researchers and an increase in future plans for collaborations between these two groups. Conclusions are made as to how these results can be fed back into the HubIT project, as well as serve as a basis for the policy recommendations to European and national bodies.

Second presentation: Evidence-based practice & policies for impact on mental health of children and adolescents

The Ludwig Boltzmann Gesellschaft (LBG) addresses complex social challenges by developing and testing novel forms of cooperation between science and non-scientific actors in a dynamic social environment. LBG’s targeted transgression of organisational and disciplinary boundaries (Open Innovation in Science) aim to improve the societal impact of research by introducing novel forms of engagement and innovative problem-solving approaches. In this case study, the Village project, we investigate different measure aiming to drive evidence-based change towards making a sustainable impact for children that have a parent with a mental illness. First, we introduce an innovative approach in generating research questions using crowdsourcing and a co-creative format, Ideas Lab, to establish international and interdisciplinary Research Groups on mental health. Second, we introduce educational programmes for researchers and adolescents to enrich research with meaningful youth engagement and transfer knowledge among different stakeholders and people with lived experience. Last, we focus on community engagement, awareness raising for mental health and working together with people with lived experience as game changers in advocating for informed decision-making on a community and policy level.
Horizon 2020 is Open to the World. This approach, which is set to continue under Horizon Europe, allows the EU to access the latest and best knowledge, to tackle global societal challenges more effectively, to create business opportunities in new and emerging markets, and to use science diplomacy as an influential instrument of external policy.

EU funded projects have recently noted the increasingly globalised dimension of SSH research which is coupled with core-periphery dynamics and transnational regionalisation. Against the backdrop of these relational pulls, targeted international cooperation in the area of SSH has tremendous – though underused and undervalued – potential for building bridges through science diplomacy. To illustrate, I take the example of SSH research on migration funded under the EU framework programmes and case studies from EU science diplomacy activities in this area involving Canada, Tunisia and Iran. After assessing strategies and distilling lessons learned, I provide arguments in support of a renewed momentum for international cooperation in SSH under Horizon Europe, concluding that contributions made to EU external policy and action can enhance both the impact and status of these disciplines.
First presentation: New Pathways to Social Change – Creating Impact through Social Innovation Research

Jürgen Howaldt
TU Dortmund

The paper emphasises the crucial role of social innovation in processes of societal transformation. In this context, the global mapping of the international research project SI-DRIVE reveals the capacities of social innovations to modify or even re-direct social change and to empower people – i.e. to address a wide variety of stakeholder groups in order to improve social cohesion and to allow for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth. Like technological innovations, successful social innovations are based on numerous presuppositions and require appropriate infrastructures and resources. It will be a major challenge for the development of social innovation to ensure a much higher involvement of research and education facilities. In these processes social sciences will be challenged to redefine their functions with regard to innovation. In the past, innovation research in the context of social sciences has contributed to explain the social dimensions, the complexity and paradoxes of innovation processes. Henceforth, much will depend on realigning the range of competencies of social science by contributing actively to the development of social innovation. Against this background participatory approaches that promote involvement and empowerment of civil-society actors are indispensable.

Second presentation: Generating SSH research impact through co-creation: from theory to effective practice

Julie Carlier
Ghent University

Stefan Meysman
Ghent University

European and national science policies, research programs and funding schemes are becoming increasingly focused on the economic, social and policy-related impacts of academic research. Academics themselves are also looking for more systematic ways to make their research ‘valuable’ to society. Actively engaging in this process of ‘valorisation’ requires involving relevant stakeholders (cf. the Quadruple Helix). Co-creation with those stakeholders is often put forward as one of the most effective ways of achieving societal impact. Although it offers a promising approach towards enabling such impact, the process of co-creation and its ‘active’ ingredients remain poorly understood and practical guidelines and toolboxes geared towards SSH are lacking. We will elaborate on the theoretical conceptualisation
In Pathways to impact from SSH research

of co-creation, models that describe co-creation processes, and examples of co-creation in practice. Data and cases were gathered within the Horizon 2020 project ACCOMPLISSH (CSA, 2016-2019). We will present the ACCOMPLISSH guidelines and tool, designed to help researchers and support staff to set up or participate in co-creation processes. Finally, we will reflect upon possible policy initiatives needed to incentivise co-creation in SSH research.

Third presentation: Rethinking societal impact – collaboration with stakeholders

Projects funded by Framework Programmes for Research and Innovation (FPs) should involve citizens and should create societal (incl. political) impact. Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH) researchers and managers focus on societal challenges and collaborate with different stakeholders and the involvement of stakeholders in proposal development and project implementation is needed to secure citizens engagement. However, successful stakeholder involvement in proposals and projects is time consuming and needs a strong management structure, which should support and monitor impact activities with the aim of leading to societal impacts. During this session, experiences with stakeholder involvement in FP proposals and projects and impact management structures will be presented and discussed. Based on empirical knowledge of stakeholder collaboration since FP4 and a case study relating to the experiences with impact management in the Horizon 2020 project ‘DARE – Dialogue About Radicalisation and Equality’ (grant agreement No 752349), the presenter questions the orientation on the Technology Readiness Levels, asks for a revision of the FP indicators and suggests funding for impact activities after the end of a project.

Policies and Support Structures for SSH Valuation and Impact Generation (Part 2) 11:15 - 12:30

Main auditorium = room K

Olivier Bouin
Network of French Institutes for Advanced Study

Chair

Johannes Vogel
Museum for Natural History Berlin

Discussant
**First presentation: Panel composition as pathway to impact: Do we need gender expertise in panels to select research projects that include gender issues?**

**Peter van den Besselaar**  
*Free University Amsterdam & TMC Amsterdam*

**Ulf Sandström**  
*KTH Royal Institute of Technology*

Impact of research is often only visible years after the R&D projects have taken place. That is why generating and evaluating impact should focus be on the *conditions for impact* – which can be uncovered by retrospective studies. A main set of conditions are the productive interactions or 'impact pathways', the direct and indirect relations between knowledge producers and knowledge users. As research is dependent on increasingly scarce funding, an important pathway to impact may be the *selection of the correct projects* – projects that include the creation of impact pathways as discussed above, but also projects that directly or indirectly focus on the relevant issues. We therefore investigate whether the *composition of panels* matters for this correct project selection. More precisely, when stakeholders are in the panel, does that result in more positive evaluation of proposals of relevance to that stakeholder? We investigate this for the gender issues domain, deploying linguistic analysis. With this innovative method, we show that the presence of gender experts in a panel leads to a more positive evaluation of gender relevant proposals. The findings are important for the creation of pathways and conditions for impact – in this case panel composition.

**Second presentation: Capacities and Societal Impact: The Case of Humanities**

**Eiríkur Smári Sigurðarson**  
*University of Iceland*

**Elsa Haraldsdóttir**  
*University of Iceland*
In this presentation we propose a new approach to understanding societal impact of humanities research in terms of social capacities. In particular we propose an approach based on theories from within the humanities themselves, with deep roots in Aristotelian philosophy. On the one hand we look towards theories in virtue epistemology, including theories of epistemic justice, and on the other hand to the capability approach of Amartya Sen and Martha Nussbaum as it has been developed in recent years. Moving the focus to capacities changes the perspective under which we can understand both the nature of and pathways to impact of humanities research. The approach has been tested on a series of impact cases from the humanities and in-depth interviews with humanities scholars at the University of Iceland on their understanding of the societal impact of their research. The results indicate that the humanities can make a strong claim to societal impact and on this basis we can better show how research in the humanities can be a transformative element towards a more inclusive society.

Third presentation: ETA PROGRAMME: How to establish partnerships between Arts, Social Sciences and Humanities, and the society of the 21st century?

Marcel Kraus
Technology Agency of the Czech Republic

The Technology Agency of the Czech Republic will finance practice-oriented research projects in social sciences, humanities and arts (SSH) with a total budget of EUR 92 million for 6 years. My contribution shall provide an insight into the design, implementation and first experience of the ETA Programme for Applied Research, Experimental Development and Innovation in SSH, which was approved by the Czech Government in 2017. The programme introduces the so-called application guarantor, who represents the user of R&D solutions in each funded project. The programme is also aimed at supporting the so-called innovation ecosystem of SSH: Interdisciplinarity – use the benefits of multidisciplinary approaches; Responsibility – combine technical and non-technical research content; Connectivity – exploit the potential of outputs from basic research to applications. Several funded projects from the 1st call for proposals (2017) will be mentioned, as well as the feedback from the programme implementation and ongoing discussions on how to continue the support of applied research in SSH.

TAXONOMIES OF SSH IMPACT CONTRIBUTIONS, ASSESSMENT AND MEASUREMENT OF SSH RESEARCH (PART2)

Milena Žic Fuchs
University of Zagreb

11:15 - 12:30
Breakout room G2
Tereza Stöckelová  
*Czech Academy of Sciences*

**Discussant**

---

**First presentation: Stakeholders’ role to produce impact from social science research: what lessons for evaluation?**

Emanuela Reale  
*Research Institute for Sustainable Economic Growth*

**Presenter**

The aim of the paper is twofold: a) to analyse the ways in which stakeholders are involved in social science research funded under European research projects, in order to identify elements – organisational characteristics of the project, types of stakeholders, type of involvement, that can increase the likelihood of producing an impact on policy and society; b) to discuss consequences of the empirical evidences for research evaluation both at the ex-ante level (elements characterising the design of the project) and ex-post level (achievements and practices indicating that an impact is produced or signalling the possibility an impact might occur). Results show that stakeholders’ participation can help to overcome at certain extent problems of attribution of impacts produced by the project. Stakeholders might also help to follow effects derived from the project for a longer period and in different national and institutional contexts, figuring out limitations in the results achieved and problems of impact sustainability that could inform further research.

---

**Second presentation: Sustainability Impact Assessment in Higher Education – A Review of Tools and Indicators**

Florian Findler  
*Vienna University of Economics and Business*

**Presenter**

Barbara Stacherl  
*Vienna University of Economics and Business*

**Presenter**
Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) are a key driver for the development of sustainable societies. In order to fulfil their potential they increasingly strive to integrate Sustainable Development (SD) throughout their entire system. Sustainability Assessment tools (SATs) support HEIs in measuring and managing their activities for SD. One important function of SATs is to deliver information satisfying the accountability expectations of non-academic stakeholders. In light of the increasing importance of impact assessment in HEIs, this study reviews the ability of extant SATs to effectively examine HEIs’ impact on SD. The analysis suggests that SATs do not yet comprehensively assess such impacts. A closer look reveals that their criteria expose a bias towards the SD impact areas “Economy, regional development & business” and “Environment”. Other SD impact areas are systematically neglected. Most of the criteria focus on organisational change at the expense of behavioural change and systemic interactions.

**Third presentation: QRiH: comprehensive evaluation of humanities research via evidence based narrative**

**Jack Spaapen**  
*Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences*

**Ad Prins**  
*Support in Research Management*

The website www.QRiH.nl/en offers an innovative approach for the comprehensive appreciation and evaluation of research quality and relevance in the humanities. QRiH combines qualitative and quantitative methods and primarily takes the form of a narrative that describes the goals and results of research projects. QRiH also addresses the connection between scientific remit and societal and cultural demand. The narrative is supported by qualitative and quantitative indicators that are developed bottom up by the research communities in the different humanities fields. It enables researchers to show the importance of their work in both its scientific and societal context. QRiH is developed to fit in the Dutch national evaluation system (SEP), which offers a generic framework for all scientific fields but allowing for disciplinary variation.

In the paper we will describe (1) the characteristics of QRiH, (2) the bottom up approach in developing the system, and (3) the first experiences of users, both administrators and researchers.
First presentation: The Oulu way of sustaining social impact of SSH sciences: from linear models of impact to productive interactions and beyond

Juha Tuunainen
University of Oulu

In the recent years, the University of Oulu has taken an active role in supporting its research in Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH). The support has manifested itself in the introduction of new structures, which aim to promote, coordinate and facilitate multi- and cross-disciplinary research within the university. One challenge that remains is the development and strengthening of the existing impact pathways and interaction between SSH scientists and the society, and how to initiate new ones. This will be important in the continued effort of the SSH sciences in Oulu to contribute to knowledge co-creation with the broader society. In this presentation, we first provide an outline of current research on achieving social impact of science and then provide an example of how SSH research has contributed to positive impact in Oulu region. Finally, we outline how the SSH sciences could benefit from both the knowledge gained from recent theoretical developments, the existing structural support at the university, and existing case examples to expand and institutionalise the interaction between SSH sciences and the society. Ultimately, the aim is continue the positive developments of the SSH sciences at the University of Oulu.
Second presentation: Ghent University’s interdisciplinary SSH-consortia – A tool to enhance the societal impact of research

Noel Klima
Ghent University

This paper presents Ghent University’s initiative to launch thematic interdisciplinary SSH-consortia (IDC) with a focus on societal impact. After a pilot phase the SSH-consortia were recently evaluated to receive sustainable funding and embedment in the University (policy) structure with the objective to enhance the societal impact of SSH-research. During the pilot phase the consortia developed bottom-up and experienced pitfalls and developed promising practices. The core of the consortia lies on fostering interdisciplinarity and societal value creation leading to societal impact. Coordinators hold a pivotal position within the consortia. They are permanently assigned to guarantee a sustainable working and development of the consortia. The pilot phase showed that the interdisciplinary cooperation between the consortia and with the central Research Department provides added value in the working on societal impact.

Third presentation: DEUSTO Research 6 i’s Model: An innovative pathway for integration of SSH and STEM in impact driven research and innovation projects

Antonia Caro González
University of Deusto

With the focus on valuation societal driven research, the University of Deusto (DEUSTO) (Basque Country, Spain) is firmly engaged since 2010 in developing an innovative framework, which is steadily evolving into a research ecosystem for impactful excellence research. It is based on three innovative interrelated and mutually reinforcing pillars:

- An evolving 6 i’s model: this is integrated by a combination of international, interdisciplinary, intersectoral, impact, innovation and inclusion features and dimensions.
- A self-feeding flexible governance system which integrates top-down and bottom-up uptakes with a middle-round flexible governance support structures and mechanisms.
- A dynamic process which combines competitive and collaborative research endeavours with a focus on excellence and real impact.

Each of the three axes will be analysed in terms of the main elements of the process dynamics to give account on a) how these acts of institutional change and collective agency have been initiated, supported and sustained in practice; b) which are the ruling underlying principles that have guided the intervention; and c) the governance system (valuation policies, structures and mechanisms supporting these acts of collective agency) put in place to reach successful developments.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact Lessons from the Regional and National Level</th>
<th>11:15 - 12:30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michael Sondermann</td>
<td>Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German Federal Ministry of Education and Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monika Mokre</td>
<td>Discussant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austrian Academy of Sciences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**First Presentation: Specific SSH Research and General Research Policy Instruments. The Case of the National Programs and Need Driven SSH Research in Lithuania**

Rūta Petrauskaitė

*Vytautas Magnus University*

The paper presents an overview of the SSH research financing tools at the Research Council of Lithuania over the past decade from the point of view the result, impact, and policy orientation of the SSH research. It argues that policy driven research instruments (such as societal problem oriented national research programs or the so-called urgent need driven research tools) are not always suitable for SSH research. Traditional impact (both academic and societal) assessment methods for knowledge dissemination or popularisation do not reveal the multilateral impact of SSH research. Bottom up approaches, i.e. blue sky research, could be a better alternative for society oriented researchers provided its impact is conceived, defined and assessed in multiple ways. In any case, impact (especially societal impact) of SSH research has not only to be made but also identified and analysed, esp., from a long term perspective.
The Research Council of Norway (RCN) has performed national research evaluation for the last 20 years. The focus has traditionally been on research quality assessed by international peers. In two recent evaluations of the Humanities (2017) and the Social Sciences (2018), the RCN has included the societal impact of research as an evaluation dimension. 400 impact cases were collected from research performing institutions (RPOs) based on the British REF 2014 model. These cases were used in the assessment of societal relevance of research at each RPO and of SSH-research in Norway in general. The impact cases were also analysed by RCN in order to give a more systematic picture of the characteristics of research underpinning the reported impact, the pathways to impact and the kinds of users and sectors that benefitted from the impact. Further, the collected cases were matched with the thematic priorities in Horizon 2020 and the corresponding Norwegian Long-term plan for research to see how the societal impact documented by the institutions corresponded with the demand expressed in the policy documents.

The impact case method has received various types of criticism from the researcher community, some of the most common imperfections pointed out being that the cases are only covering a small part of the societal relevance of an institution, implying a linear relationship between research and impact, not reflecting the complexities of researcher – user relations and not covering the important impacts taking place within academia. In spite of this criticism, our experience in Norway is that the introduction of the impact case method has given valuable new knowledge in the variety of ways in which SSH research creates societal benefits. We have thus moved from a situation with a rather vague discourse on SSH-research as a general societal good (although accepted by a majority of policymakers) to a collection of concrete evidence that could be used in a debate on how research funding should be attributed in order to obtain specific societal (or commercial) aims.

Based on observations within the project "Wissenstransferzentrum Ost" (Knowledge Transfer Center East), an inter-university collaboration of all Viennese universities, this contribution is pointing out different practice-oriented elements driven by SSHA research and developments within the paradigm of a “third mission” of universities. The pre-dominance of valorization and utilization methods within the field of technology transfer forces the SSHA fields to generate a rather radical and new approach of knowledge exchange with a multitude of peers – both within the academic community and with actors and stakeholders from society as well as economy. The contribution elaborates different approaches,
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formats and processes, which have been designed and applied for this reason. Observations and learnings with a focus on inter- and transdisciplinary endeavors will be presented from the reflection on target groups, such as researchers, alumni and university staff. The aim is to figure out the potentials for a substantial integration of the SSHA in knowledge transfer structures, to describe impact oriented narratives, give examples from a process oriented and format driven participation framework, to strengthen the position of SSHA at large in the field of knowledge transfer.

PANEL 2
Which policies and instruments are needed to facilitate impacts of SSH in transformative science approaches?

13:30 - 14:30
Main auditorium = room K

Matthias Reiter-Pázmándy
Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research

Carina Keskitalo
Umeå University

Kirsten Langkilde
University for Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland

James Wilsdon
University of Sheffield

Corinna Amting
European Commission, Research Executive Agency, Inclusive, Innovative and Reflective Societies

Science, research and innovation policy is more than ever charged with demonstrating impact. In the field of SSH impact generation was until recently always perceived as task assigned to individual researchers and research projects. In comparison to more technology oriented disciplines, structural and more institutional support measures for impact generation from upstream levels emerged with a distinct time delay. Today, however, experimental approaches are initiated and implemented in various countries by policy makers, funding agencies,
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intermediaries, as well as at the institutional level of research organisations. They address various fields of intervention such as new research programme designs, adjusted financial regulations and rules for participation, aligned evaluation criteria, the provision of brokering support to discrete projects to overcome project-related isolation, SSH related knowledge transfer mechanisms etc. This panel discusses different starting points for introducing structural procedures to support impact generation of SSH and arts-based research from different points of view.

### 4 PARALLEL WORKING GROUPS (WG) 14:30 - 15:45

**WG ON VALUATION PATHWAYS 14:30 - 15:45**

**Breakout room G2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Johannes Vogel</th>
<th>Chair</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Museum for Natural History Berlin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Katja Mayer</th>
<th>Impulse statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centre for Social Innovation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>David Budtz Pedersen</th>
<th>Rapporteur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humanomics Research Centre Department of Communication &amp; Psychology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The aim of the working groups is to engage participants of the Austrian Presidency of the EU Council conference ‘Impact of Social Sciences and Humanities for a European Research Agenda’ to discuss how SSH can generate added-value within mission-oriented research and innovation programmes, such as Horizon Europe. Drawing on the input of speakers and experience of participants, the session gives hand-on advice on how to incorporate SSH disciplines in mission-oriented research and understanding and evaluating impact pathways of SSH. Results of the working groups will feed into the vade mecum and the conference working paper and as input to the conference’s final panel discussion 3 on ‘How to make better use of SSH in Horizon Europe’. This working group will build on the discussion during the session ‘Valuation pathways of SSH – drivers, barriers, successes and failures’ and will focus on the following topics:

- Knowledge exchange and knowledge mobilisation in SSH
- Instruments, incentives and infrastructures to stimulate valuation pathways of SSH
- Suggestions for desired shifts in e/valuation practices in SSH and beyond
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>WG ON BUILDING STRONG KNOWLEDGE EXCHANGE ECOSYSTEMS TO STIMULATE SSH VALORISATION (HOSTED BY ENRESSH) (POLICIES AND SUPPORT STRUCTURES FOR SSH VALUATION AND IMPACT)</strong></td>
<td>14:30 - 15:45</td>
<td>Breakout room B1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Paul Benneworth**  
*University of Twente, Leader of the Working Group on SSH Research Impact in ENRESSH* | Chair Round-table discussion | Paul Benneworth |
| **Gemma Derrick**  
*Lancaster University* | Discussant | Gemma Derrick |
| **Jon Holm**  
*Research Council of Norway* | Discussant | Jon Holm |
| **Zorana Barišić**  
*Croatian Ministry of Science and Education* | Discussant | Zorana Barišić |
| **Natasa Jermen**  
*The Miroslav Krleža Institute of Lexicography* | Discussant | Natasa Jermen |
| **Christoph Köller**  
*Görgen & Köller GmbH* | Rapporteur | Christoph Köller |
A key challenge for European science policy is in ensuring that scientific advances (including Social Sciences and Humanities research) deliver societal benefits. There is a corresponding challenge in developing appropriate SSH research evaluation mechanisms that signal societal impact as ‘valuable’ to funders and society at large. A number of European countries characterised by a long tradition of impact creation have developed evaluation mechanisms that trace these value signals back to research activities (notably the Netherlands, the UK, Norway and France). It is tempting to assume that these methodologies will work in other contexts with universities who downplay impact, antipathetic policy-makers, media partners unwilling to hear complex messages, or civil society lacking the capacity to process complex academic messages. Assessing impact in these contexts should reflect these differences, and using these now-established tools requires a collective learning process in these places. This roundtable focuses on stimulating a constructive dialogue between speakers with knowledge of these best-practice impact contexts and from those in less experienced SSH contexts between three groups (policy-makers, university leaders and impact researchers).

The aim of the working groups is to use the collective intelligence gathered at the Austrian Presidency of the EU Council conference ‘Impact of Social Sciences and Humanities for a European Research Agenda’ to discuss important issues, which might have arisen during the conference or which are regarded as not yet sufficiently tackled, and to formulate some take-away messages. The latter should serve as input for the vade mecum and the working paper prepared under the conference and as input to the conference’s final panel discussion 3 on ‘How to make better use of SSH in Horizon Europe’.

This working group on ‘assessment and measurement of SSH research’ emphasises the role and contributions of evaluation approaches and methods to tackle impact, notably the societal impact, of R&I policies [such as programmes and instruments] beyond traditional scientific and economic approaches and indicators. It also questions the issue of evaluation approaches and formats suitable to enhance policy intelligence in service for society. Antonia Caro González (University of Deusto/Spain) will provide an impulse statement. Depending on the number of workshop participants a town-hall conference format to structure the discussions along a few essential questions is proposed. The working group will be chaired by Mara Soler (University of Barcelona/Spain). Christian Fleck (University of Graz/Austria) will act as rapporteur of this session.
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ACCOMPLISSH Workshop: “De-dramatising societal impact: the nuts and bolts of co-creation and impact”

14:30 - 15:45
Breakout room B2

Jonas Stier
Chair
Moderator
Dalarna University College

Sharon Eugenie Smit
Chair
Moderator
University of Groningen

In this workshop participants will get into the heart of the most important enablers and barriers of co-creation and societal impact from Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH) research in particular in the setting of science and policy collaboration. The workshop is based on a European-broad study carried out within the Horizon 2020 ACCOMPLISSH project, which runs in 12 EU countries.

We are convinced that SSH can highly contribute to bring new insights in societal complex issues. However, knowledge and practice in many cases do not automatically come together. And in cases where there is collaboration, we unfortunately see that well-meant initiatives easily end up as failure or lesser success than set out for. We want to share knowledge on how to improve these collaborations and raise the chances for success for co-creation and there with enhance societal impact from SSH research in society.

We relate both to the academic environment (how to overcome barriers in the academic arena) as well as the policy environment (how to better understand and work with scientific research). We are addressing roles of politicians, policy makers, researchers and research management.

TAKE-AWAY MESSAGES FROM THE PARALLEL WORKSHOPS

16:15 - 16:45
Main auditorium = room K

PANEL 3
How to make better use of SSH in Horizon Europe?

16:45 - 17:45
Main auditorium = room K

Thomas König
Moderator
Institute for Advanced Studies
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harald Hartung</td>
<td>Panelist: European Commission, DG Research and Innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jürgen Howaldt</td>
<td>Panelist: TU Dortmund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angela Liberatore</td>
<td>Panelist: European Research Council Executive Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tereza Stöckelová</td>
<td>Panelist: Czech Academy of Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harald Leitenmüller</td>
<td>Panelist: Microsoft Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.N. – FREE CHAIR TO INCLUDE AD HOC CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE AUDIENCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now is the opportunity to wrap up, and give place to observations and reflections on how to value the impact of Social Sciences and Humanities to transformative, mission- and challenges-oriented national and European research and innovation agendas. The panel will also provide critical input to the final draft of the “vade mecum”, the document giving concrete suggestions and summarising good practices for integrating Social Sciences and Humanities in mission-oriented research programmes and in particular in the upcoming Framework Programme, Horizon Europe.

Five panelists from different backgrounds will comment on insights and “lessons-to-learn” from the conference, and make suggestions on how to proceed further. In addition, all conference participants are invited to take the “free seat” and share their reflections and insights. In order to provide room for a variety of contributions, three rules for the “free seat” are stipulated: a) that the order of contributors is decided by the moderator based upon the indication of participants that they would like to add a comment; b) that there is only one slot to speak per conference participant; c) that each contributor must limit his/her contribution to five minutes or less.
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FARWELL

Matthias Reiter-Pázmándy
Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research
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CULTIVATING EUROPE: DEMOCRATIC EUROPE – SOCIAL EUROPE – EDUCATED EUROPE
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Nicole Birkle
Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz

Geny Piotti
European University Viadrina

Authors

Nicole BIRKLE, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz
Katharina BERGHÖFER, University of Hamburg
Geny PIOTTI, European University Viadrina
Stefanie PREUSS, University of Konstanz
Ursula SCHLICHTER, University of Mannheim
Christian VELDMAN, Justus Liebig University Gießen
Kristina WIEGE, Goethe University Frankfurt

Research on Societies in the future must be a corner stone of European Research Funding. When creating the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation Horizon Europe, the European Commission must consider that Europe can not meet today’s biggest challenges – such as social inequality and demographic change, migration pressure, rising nationalism, populism, terroristic threats, economic crises, and thus an overall waning confidence in democracy – with predominantly technology-driven approaches. To maximise the impact of collaborative research in Horizon Europe, it needs an adequate budget for research on an ‘Inclusive Society’, a solid and monitored integration of SSH in all parts of the programme, and a better support for the development of interdisciplinary consortia. The new research agenda must rely on an open exchange between policy makers, researchers, and citizens. The portfolio of funding instruments must be adapted to the current needs and must enable researchers to develop innovative toolsets and to create impact especially through intensive citizen participation. The Working Group ROSE stands for a strong SSH contribution to this agenda and to a democratic, social and educated Europe that take citizens’ concerns properly into account.
During this poster session, Dr. Joan Cabré will focus on the evaluation of the social impact of science that is developed through the Social Impact Open Repository SIOR. SIOR is the first open and free initiative to display, share and store the social impact of research results. It was born in the framework of the IMPACT-EV project directed by Ramon Flecha, funded by the 7th Framework Programme of the European Commission and coordinated by the CREA.

SIOR and the social impact indicators are related to official goals, percentage of improvement, replicability of the impact, social impact published and sustainability of the impact of research. On the one hand, SIOR is based on give visibility to research projects by assessing social impact resulted from scientific research and on the other, to measure the impact with the aim to make research improvements visible for the entire society.

Related to this last objective, SIOR has developed collaborations with ORCID, allowing researchers to be connected. This implies the possibility to transfer information from the projects included in SIOR to researchers' profiles in ORCID and Wikipedia (quality tag for the evidences included in this free encyclopaedia, since data included in the repository is peer reviewed).
Interest in the evaluation of research impact (the influence of scientific research beyond academia) is observable worldwide. Several countries have introduced national research assessment systems including "impact". So far, research has focused on the practicalities of different existing policies. But introducing a new element of evaluation gives rise not only to challenges of a practical nature, but also to important ethical consequences in terms of academic identity, reflexivity, power structures, etc. To address these questions, we propose a multidimensional model that considers different attributes of research impact: responsiveness, accessibility, reflexivity, ecology and adaptability. This holistic, multidimensional model of evaluation, designed for self-assessment, recognises the qualities a project has on these different scales, in a broader perspective, rather than offering a simple numerical assessment. This model addresses many of the ethical dilemmas that accompany conducting impact-producing research. To exemplify the usefulness of the proposed approach, the authors provide real-life research project assessment examples conducted with the use of the model. The article addresses pillars 1 (Valuation) and 3 (Assessing Impact) of the Austrian EU Council Presidency Conference.
WHO WE ARE
equalizent Schulungs- und Beratungs GmbH is a company with long standing expertise in Deafness, Sign Language and Diversity Management. We are a qualification centre for people who are Deaf or Hard of Hearing. Our training institute is fully accessible. We use Austrian Sign Language (ÖGS) and spoken German in our work. 30% of our employees are Deaf or Hard of Hearing.

WHAT WE DO
Our specialism: All courses for Deaf people are in ÖGS. Our trainers are Deaf, and act as role models and peers for our trainees. We offer education courses, job orientation and job application training to enable inclusion in the first job market. Diversity Management: Sensitisation workshops for companies on Deafness, diversity management. Tool! for managing diversity in companies. Diversity Ball to increase awareness of social diversity and to live it openly. “Hands Up” – an exhibition where hearing people experience the world of deafness.

WHERE WE ARE GOING
Many occupational fields are closed to Deaf people. Every year we open a new area through political lobbying and preparation for entrance examinations in ÖGS. We innovate to facilitate education (sign language library, museums guide, teaching materials, ...). Currently we build-up a franchise system to export our unique know-how cross Europe.

CLARIN - TRANSCENDING SCIENTIFIC BOUNDARIES ACROSS SSH RESEARCH FOCUSING ON PARLIAMENTARY DATA

15.5

Maria Eskevich
Presenter

Franciska DE JONG, CLARIN ERIC
Darja FISER, CLARIN ERIC, University of Ljubljana
Maria ESKEVICH, CLARIN ERIC
Karolina BADZMIEROWSKA, CLARIN ERIC

Parliamentary corpora represent a very important source of socially relevant content. It is available in ever larger quantities, multilingual, and accompanied by rich metadata. It has the distinguishing characteristic of representing spoken language produced in controlled circumstances. Traditionally it has been archived in transcribed form but increasingly it is released also in audio and video formats. The information that datasets of this category contain has high value for public audiences if made easily accessible and interconnected with relevant documents, events, contact points, etc. Therefore, joint research effort in the SSH domain has both the potential to advance the scientific disciplines through cross-domain and cross-nation collaboration, and to increase the usability of this data type which in turn can further generate impact on the exchange of societal information at large.

The CLARIN ERIC infrastructure offers access to parliamentary corpora, covering almost all of the languages spoken in countries that are either member or observer of CLARIN ERIC. This poster presentation will introduce CLARIN resources, results of the joint SSH efforts achieved so far, and the vision for future development in the field.
The structural interdisciplinary ‘Crime, Criminology & Criminal Policy’ consortium at Ghent University brings together researchers from different disciplines and faculties focusing on crime and security related research. A coordinator and 16 professors and their staff build the bottom up structure in which cooperation and tailor made support services are set-up with the goal to enhance societal impact among different stakeholder groups. The consortium is embedded into the University structure, collaborates with other thematic consortia and is flexible and adaptive towards new developments. The researchers are aimed to be enabled to conduct more interdisciplinary research, apply diverse funding channels and plan societal value creation initiatives and societal impact. Synergies and cooperation within the group and with external partners from different SSH and STEM disciplines are identified, stimulated and reinforced. Next to identifying (international) research funding opportunities for interdisciplinary projects the consortium’s goal is to strengthen societal value creation leading to societal impact, identify pathways to impact on individual, project and group level and to approach impact measurement.

The structural interdisciplinary ‘Crime, Criminology & Criminal Policy’ consortium at Ghent University brings together researchers from different disciplines and faculties focusing on crime and security related research. A coordinator and 16 professors and their staff build the bottom up structure in which cooperation and tailor made support services are set-up with the goal to enhance societal impact among different stakeholder groups. The consortium is embedded into the University structure, collaborates with other thematic consortia and is flexible and adaptive towards new developments. The researchers are aimed to be enabled to conduct more interdisciplinary research, apply diverse funding channels and plan societal value creation initiatives and societal impact. Synergies and cooperation within the group and with external partners from different SSH and STEM disciplines are identified, stimulated and reinforced. Next to identifying (international) research funding opportunities for interdisciplinary projects the consortium’s goal is to strengthen societal value creation leading to societal impact, identify pathways to impact on individual, project and group level and to approach impact measurement.
Net4Society is the international network of National Contact Points (NCPs) for Societal Challenge 6 (SC6) “Inclusive, innovative, and reflective societies” in Horizon 2020. National Contact Points provide guidance, practical information and assistance on all aspects of participation in Horizon 2020. Net4Society strengthens cooperation and information exchange between SC6 NCPs and ensures that all SC6 NCPs have the relevant knowledge and skills for their work and can offer professional, high-quality and tailor-made services to applicants. Net4Society systematically supports applicants in SC6. The project facilitates interdisciplinary and international consortium building through the organisation of brokerage events and a sector specific partner search service. To support the successful integration of SSH in all parts of Horizon 2020 toward a SSH – STEM co-creation environment, Net4Society publishes success stories and factsheets on “SSH integration”, as well as a document listing funding opportunities for SSH in all of Horizon 2020. Net4Society fosters the visibility of SSH research in Horizon 2020 and the awareness of societal impact. Together with its stakeholders, Net4Society continuously promote the high value of SSH research and its impact on society.

**COLLABORATIVE ENGAGEMENT ON SOCIETAL ISSUES**

**Alessia Smaniottto**
*OpenEdition Center*

**Presenter**

The Platform for collaborative engagement on societal issues (PLACES) is a science for society environment that meets two specific objectives: to put researchers in contact with socio-economic actors to develop collaborative research projects; and to report on citizen science practices, through those collaborative projects. This dual objective responds to a common problem: increasing the societal impact of research projects in the Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH).

The PLACES platform is based on three distinct services: community management and linking professionals (matching service); access to funding sources including a crowdfunding tool; collaborative project management including management of user rights and profiles, connection to databases and data repositories, collaboration tools - in particular discussion and sharing - on text and multimedia data.

Integrated into the Open Science paradigm, PLACES will make it possible to analyse the impact of citizen science, explore new forms of scientific communication, and reflect on the ethical and legal issues related to the publication and availability of research data according to the FAIR principles. Finally, PLACES will promote the transfer of knowledge and know-how between research actors and other socio-economic actors.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT WITHIN TRANSCONTINENTAL HUMANITIES RESEARCH: REFLECTIONS ON THE HERA JRP 2009-2018</th>
</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joanna Sofaer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Southampton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Whyton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham City University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanna SOFAER, University of Southampton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wojciech SOWA, The National Science Centre (Poland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony WHYTON, Birmingham City University</td>
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</table>

Humanities in the European Research Area (HERA) is a network of national funding agencies committed to leading and developing funding opportunities for humanities researchers in Europe. Since its inception it has grown from 14 to 26 national agencies. The HERA partners, together with the European Commission, have pooled €56 million to fund 55 transnational humanities-focused projects. These have supported 231 scholars, 206 post-doctoral researchers and 88 PhD students who have worked together with 173 associated partners from civil society and cultural institutions. This presentation provides an overview of the evolution in support structures for impact within the HERA Joint Research Programme (JRP). We provide selective case studies that demonstrate the different processes and methods of impact employed by JRP projects, each with different aims and target audiences; each example places humanities research within a context of innovation and broader debates around impact and the value of the humanities. Case studies focus on five distinctive areas of impact and associated policy implications:

1. IMPACT ON WELL-BEING AND THE ENVIRONMENT
2. IMPACT THROUGH CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE KNOWLEDGE ECONOMY
3. IMPACT OF MEANING MAKING ACTIVITIES: UNDERSTANDING TANGIBLE & INTANGIBLE CULTURAL HERITAGE
4. ECONOMIC IMPACT
5. SOCIAL IMPACT AND CRITIQUE: CONTRIBUTIONS TO DEMOCRACY
ARE HUMANITIES FAILING TO GENERATE IMPACT?
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In 2014 the UK Research Excellence Framework REF introduced narratives on impacts reached, to be drafted by the assessed institutions. This means that academics are asked to show the value of their work beyond how it perpetuates the research system itself. REF 2014 assessed nearly 7000 impact cases that cover all research areas.

Using the impact cases data base together with the publication of the results by Times Higher Education, the paper investigates the following questions with a specific focus on Humanities:

1. Do various disciplines produce different types of impacts?
2. Are some disciplines more successful than others?
3. Is output and impact interconnected in a way that applications with highly rated outputs receive high scores for impacts as well?
4. Do high-scored impacts show characteristics different from the total amount of impacts?

It can be shown that:

- Humanities show remarkably good results on outputs but fail to receive outstanding impact
- There are no differences between 4* impact cases and the total amount of impact cases according to the type of impact, the spread of impacts over the world and the share of interdisciplinary cases
- There are significant positive links between output and impact for all disciplines

AGEING LABOUR MIGRANTS FROM THE 60IES IN EUROPEAN WELFARE STATES – FORGOTTEN BY SOCIAL POLICY?

15.11

Ursula Trummer
Center for Health and Migration

Presenter

Ursula TRUMMER, Center for Health and Migration

Author

Demography is telling us that within ageing societies in Europe, an ageing migrant population is becoming relevant, while contemporary discourses mainly concentrate on refugees and other recently arrived migrants. Those who came as workers 50 or 60 years ago and have grown old in the host countries are seldom mentioned. It is presumed that integration has taken place over the years. But public health experts point out that those older immigrants have specific needs concerning their physical and mental health. One example provides Austria, a rich country in the middle of Europe with an overall population of 8.5 mio, which has relied on foreign workers for economic growth since the mid1960ies. Currently, about 76.000 former guest workers residing in Austria are in the age of 65+ (01.01.2017), and about 135.000 are in the category of 50-64 years of age.
Qualitative research makes people behind numbers visible. One of them is Zlatko. He came from Serbia to Austria in 1969, at that time he was 22 and full of plans – to make good money, raise children, to build a house at home in Serbia. Now he is in his 70ies and retired. The little German he needed at work he forgot after not using it any more. The house he built in Serbia stays empty, his wife is not willing to live far away from her children and grandchildren, who are at home in Austria. In Austria, he lives in a small apartment, and nobody looks at him with respect. He feels like a stranger, he feels no dignity in his life. Nobody even realises he is in need, because he gets his pension payed every month, and is covered by health insurance. This is a sad reality for many people insufficiently acknowledged both by research and social policy. Respective research initiatives to inform policy are needed on a European scale.

**ETHICS & ECONOMICS REGARDING ACCESS TO HEALTHCARE FOR MARGINALISED GROUPS**

**15.12**

**Ursula Trummer**

*Center for Health and Migration*

**Presenter**

**Sonja Novak-Zezula**

*Center for Health and Migration*

**Presenter**

**Ursula TRUMMER, Center for Health and Migration**

**Sonja NOVAK-ZEZULA, Center for Health and Migration**

**Nadav DAVIDOVITCH, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev**

**Nora GOTTLIEB, Technical University Berlin**

The main arguments for improving access to health care for marginalised groups has often been primarily based on public health considerations, human rights claims and ethical principles of equity. However, the respective political debates often focus on economic arguments such as moral hazard, (presumed) related health expenses, and the need to safeguard scarce resources. Acknowledging the role of economic arguments in political discourses and decision-making processes, researchers have begun to pay more attention to the fiscal implications of limiting health coverage for migrant and ethnic minority groups. Discussions are necessary on how to introduce evidence-based economic arguments into the political debates. The poster aims to provide insights in recent debates concerning access to health care for marginalised groups as asylum seekers, refugees and undocumented migrants.
DIFFICULT TRANSFORMATION ON THE WAY TO EUROPE: CHANGING ROLE OF SOCIAL SCIENCES IN THE POST-SOVIE T STATES

Igor Yegorov
National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine

Author

The objective of the poster is to discuss new role of social scientists in the post-Soviet states in the context of Eurointegration processes. Social and economic transformation and Eurointegration processes had crucial and diverse effects on social sciences in the post-Soviet states. From the early 1990s on, important groups of the social science intelligentsia got involved in practical social reforms or even parliamentary politics. Those activities engaged the most pragmatic part of the research communities, the people who in other circumstances would or could be the organisational leaders of academic renewal. Starting in the mid-1990s, the majority of these enthusiasts of practical social reforms usually left the political scene, but the power structure already stabilised by that time made it almost impossible for them to get involved in academic reforms. On the other hand, the region’s middle-range theories for special analytical purposes are still underdeveloped, so macroanalytical approaches are used to explain in areas, where they remain too general, and therefore inefficient.
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JAAK AAIVIKSOO
[TalTech University]
Rector

Jaak Aaviksoo received his PhD from the Institute of Physics, Estonian Academy of Sciences in 1981. From 1981 to 1992 he worked as a guest professor at the Novosibirsk Institute of Thermal Physics, the Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research (Germany), Osaka University (Japan) and University of Paris (France). From 1992 he was professor of optics and spectroscopy and vice-rector and from 1998 to 2007 Rector of the University of Tartu. He has held the office of Minister of Education and Research (1995-1996 and 2011-2014) and Minister of Defence (2007-2011). In September 2015, Jaak Aaviksoo was elected as Rector of TalTech University.

WILJAN VAN DEN AKKER
[Utrecht University]
Vice Rector for Research

Wiljan van den Akker (1954) got his PhD in Modern Literature at Utrecht University in 1985 and was appointed full-professor of Modern Dutch Literature in 1987. From 1996 till 2003 he chaired the Board of the Dutch National Endowment for the Humanities (NWO) in The Hague and represented The Netherlands in the Standing Committee for the Humanities of the European Science Foundation (ESF) in Strasbourg. From 2006 till 2014 he was Dean of Humanities at Utrecht University. Presently he is Vice-Rector for Research and Director of the Centre for Humanities.

DAVIDE AMATO
[European Commission, DG Research and Innovation]
Policy Officer

Davide Amato is the Policy Officer in charge of the Work Programme for the Horizon 2020 Societal Challenge 2, working in the in the Bioeconomy Strategy Unit of DG R&I at the European Commission. He started his professional career in the private sector, covering different positions and responsibilities in Human Resources both at national and international level. He joined the Bioeconomy Strategy Unit in 2011, working primarily on the launching of the EU Bioeconomy Strategy and its implementation. He holds a M.A. in Politics and Economics of the EU integration and a M.Sc. in Human Resources.
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Corinna Amting
[European Commission, Inclusive, Innovative and Reflective Societies]
Head of Unit

Corinna Amting became Head of unit in the Research Executive Agency in 2008, since 2014 for Inclusive, Innovative and Reflective Societies (Horizon 2020), and before for the FP7 Small and Medium sized Enterprise research programme. She joined the European Commission as project officer in Directorate-General for Information Society in 1998. After her studies in Computer Science, she worked as a software analyst at Nixdorf Computer AG and was afterwards leading software development teams in a German administration before moving to Brussels.

Tara Andrews
[University of Vienna]
Professor

Tara Andrews is Professor of Digital Humanities at the University of Vienna (since 2016). Born in the USA, Tara Andrews did her Bachelor of Science in Humanities and Engineering at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) in 1999, followed by a Master of Philosophy in Byzantine Studies at the University of Oxford, UK, in 2005. In 2009 she finished her Doctor of Philosophy in Oriental Studies at the University of Oxford, UK. From 2010 to 2013 she was a post-doctoral researcher in Greek Studies, KU Leuven, Belgium. From 2013 to 2016 she worked as an Assistant Professor of Digital Humanities at the University of Bern, Switzerland.

Zorana Barisic
[Ministry of Science and Education of Republic of Croatia]
Project Manager

Ms. Zorana Barisic is the project manager of The New International Fellowship Mobility Programme for Experienced Researchers in Croatia, NEWFELPRO, at the Croatian Ministry of Science and Education. Project is 100th Marie Curie Sklodowska COFUND, was the first such project implemented financing 76 fellows in outgoing, incoming and reintegration schemes. Additionally, Ms. Barisic has prepared various Horizon 2020 project proposals along with Croatian Seal of Excellence programme. She also contributes as a policy analyst within the Ministry. Ms. Barisic has a MA in Political Science and Liberal Arts.
JOHN BELL  
[ALLEA – European Federation of Academies of Sciences and Humanities, Professor University of Cambridge]  
Chair of the ALLEA Horizon Europe Working Group

John Bell is the Chair of the ALLEA Working Group on Horizon Europe and of its predecessor group on Horizon 2020. He is a Fellow of the British Academy and a legal academic, specialising in French and German laws. He has recently been Chair of the Faculty of Law at the University of Cambridge.

PAUL BENNEWORTH  
[Center for Higher Education Policy Studies at the TU – University of Twente]  
Senior Researcher

Paul Benneworth is a senior researcher at the Center for Higher Education Policy Studies, University of Twente, the Netherlands, and at Agderforskning AS, Kristiansand, Norway. Paul leads the Working Group on SSH Research Impact in ENRESSH, the COST-funded European Network for Research Evaluation in the Social Sciences and Humanities. He has been chief scientist of projects for a range of research funders including the European Framework Programme/ERA-NET, research councils in the UK, the Netherlands and Norway as well as a wide range of government and not-for-profit funders.

PETER VAN DEN BESSELAAR  
[University Amsterdam]  
Professor

Prof. Peter van den Besselaar (Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam & Teresa Mom Consultancy, Amsterdam) is a productive science policy scholar. His research focuses on the organisation, funding, and evaluation of research and innovation. Recent work is on gender bias in grant allocation and in academic careers; on improving the measurement and evaluation of scholarly and societal impact of science; and on performance differences between national science systems. He is also involved in developing the SMS platform (sms.risis.eu) for integration and enrichment of heterogeneous data for social research.
NICOLE BIRKLE
[Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz]
EU Liaison Officer

Nicole Birkle studied Classical Archaeology, Prehistory and Ancient History in Mainz and Bonn and finished her PhD in 2006 at Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Germany. Already during her doctoral studies, she worked in the management of a non-profit organisation, followed by a time as a research assistant and freelance archaeologist. Since 2002, Birkle administered various national and EU-funded research projects, before she became EU Liaison Officer at Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz in 2014. In this position, she mainly supports applicants from the Social Sciences and Humanities.

OLIVIER BOUIN
[Network of French Institutes for Advanced Study]
Director

Olivier Bouin is the Director of the Foundation-Excellence Laboratory “Network of French Institutes for Advanced Study”. Since 2009, he has been the Secretary-General of NetIAs, a network of 23 Institutes for Advanced Study in Europe. He is the chief coordinator for the EURIAS Fellowship Programme for the 2010-2019 period [FP7-MSC-COFUND]. He is responsible for European and International Affairs at the French Alliance for the Social Sciences and the Humanities. In addition, he is a Steering Committee member of the International Panel on Social Progress and recently published a three-volume report «Rethinking Society for the 21st Century» [Cambridge University Press].

NINA BRAUN
[German Aerospace Center Project Management Agency]

Ms Nina Braun studied at the university of Science and Technologies in Lille where she obtained a Master’s degree in Global E-Business, International Management and Economics. She joined the German Aerospace Center Project Management Agency (DLR PT) in 2009. Since 2016, she coordinates the international network of National Contact Points (NCPs) for Societal Challenge 6 (SC6) in Horizon 2020 – Net4Society.
JOHN BREWER
[Queen’s University Belfast, Senator George J Mitchell Institute for Global Peace, Security and Justice]
Professor

John Brewer is Professor of Post Conflict Studies in the Senator George J Mitchell Institute for Global Peace, Security and Justice at Queen’s University Belfast. He is honorary Professor Extraordinary at Stellenbosch University, South Africa. As former President of the British Sociological Association, he is a Fellow of the Royal Irish Academy, Royal Society of Edinburgh, Academy of Social Sciences and the Royal Society of Arts. He has an honorary doctorate from Brunel University, London for services to social sciences and is a member of the UN Roster of Global Experts. He is the author or co-author of 16 books and editor or co-editor of a further five.

JOAN CABRÉ OLIVÉ
[University of Barcelona]
Researcher

Joan Cabré is researcher at the University of Barcelona and Juan de la Cierva fellow. He is member of CREA (Community of Researchers on Excellence for All) and develops research in the framework of the groups TSIR (Sociological Theory and Social Impact of Research) and MEDIS (Methodology of Educational Research with Social Impact). His work is related to social impact and social media analysis. He is board member of the Social Impact Open Repository and has collaborated in European (i.e. IMPACT-EV, FP7) and national projects (i.e. Access to social impact of science: New dialogues with the citizens, FECYT), among others.

JULIE CARLIER
[Ghent University]
Research Coordinator

Julie Carlier is the Research Coordinator of the Ghent Centre for Global Studies, an interdisciplinary SSH research platform at Ghent University, focused on the study of globalisation and global processes. As a research coordinator, she is a member of both the EU-SSH Working Group and the Impact Taskforce of Ghent University, focused on resp. EU research policies and the university’s impact policy. Julie holds a PhD degree in History and coordinates the partnership of UGent in the Erasmus Mundus Master Global Studies. As part of the Ghent University team, she is an active member of the European ACCOMPLISSH project.
ANTONIA CARO GONZÁLEZ
[University of Deusto]
Head of Department

Antonia Caro González, PhD, is head of the International Research Project Office and expert in European issues, social impact and innovation in research management at the University of Deusto, Spain. She is evaluator for the European Commission (H2020, Cosme) and initiator and active member in international research projects (FP7-GEITONIES; FP7-SPBUILD; FP7-INTEGRIM; FP7-SI-DRIVE, H2020-SOLIDUS, H2020-DIRS COFUND). She is responsible of the three Strategic Master Plans (Internationalisation, Interdisciplinary Platforms and Social Impact) in charge of boosting the Deusto Research 6 I’s Model.

BASUDEB CHAUDHURI
[European Commission, DG Research and Innovation]
Seconded National Expert


ROSANNA D’AMARIO
[European Commission, DG Research and Innovation]
Policy Officer

Rosanna D’Amario studied Pharmacy at the University La Sapienza (Rome, Italy). She got a Certificate as Expert in Biomedical Research, at the Biomedical Research Institute Consorzio Mario Negri Sud (Santa Maria Imbaro, Italy). She was then employed at the same Institute until 2001, when she became Official at the European Commission. Ms D’Amario has been working in the Directorate General for Research and Innovation. She was initially Project Officer in the area of food and nutrition research (2001-2008) and then she was Project Officer for the mobility and training programme Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (2008-2012). Since 2012 she is Policy Officer in the Health Directorate where she’s responsible for the coordination of international cooperation and Social Sciences and Humanities.
ELKE DALL
[Centre for Social Innovation]
Senior Expert

Elke Dall has been working for the Centre for Social Innovation (ZSI) since 2003 and has been leading several activities and projects related to research policy and development, quantitative and qualitative evaluation and international STI policy cooperation. Currently, she is representing ZSI in Brussels and involved in projects on policy impacts of social innovation and international cooperation. She also teaches EU project management and impact maximisation in several post-graduate and graduate programmes. She studied Sociology and Communication Science at the University of Vienna.

NICHOLAS DELIYANAKIS
[European Commission, DG Research and Innovation]
Deputy Head of Unit

Nicholas Deliyanakis is Deputy Head of the Strategy Unit for Industrial Technologies in the European Commission’s Directorate-General for Research and Innovation. He is currently working on developing the industrial aspects of Horizon Europe, and on the industrial leadership part of Horizon 2020. This work is closely linked to other EU policy such as the circular economy.

Before that, he was in the Nanotechnology Unit, where he helped develop an integrated policy for nanotechnology. He has also worked in the Marie Skłodowska Curie programme for supporting researchers.

Before joining the Commission staff in 1999, he spent 11 years working on nuclear fusion research in the UK, including JET, the European nuclear fusion project.

GEMMA DERRICK
[Lancaster University]
Director

Dr. Gemma Derrick is Director of the Centre for Higher Education Research & Evaluation at Lancaster University. Her research specialises in academic reward systems and constructions of excellence (scientific and societal), peer review, and research evaluation frameworks. Gemma leads the COMbINE network (Complementary Methods in Evaluation Research, and is on the World Health Organisations Evidence Informed Policy Network Steering Committee. She has published in the Lancet, Nature, Minerva, the Milbank Quarterly and her book, The Evaluators’ Eye: Impact assessment and academic peer review.
MONICA DIETL
[Science|Business]
Senior Advisor

Monica Dietl is senior advisor for the Science|Business’ Healthy Measures initiative. Dietl is a neurobiologist who conducted research at the University Pierre and Marie Curie in Paris (UPMC), the University of Vienna, Sandoz in Basel, and Collège de France with Rhone Poulenc Santé in Paris. Before joining Science|Business she was director of the Brussels office of France’s CNRS and was director of the COST Association.

ALICE DIJKSTRA
[Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research]
Senior Programme Manager

Alice Dijkstra is senior programme officer at the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). Presently, she coordinates the international activities in the NWO SSH domain and actively participates in the NWO Strategic International Working Group. Internationally, she is active in several transnational research networks (HERA, Trans-Atlantic Platform and the JPI Cultural Heritage), and in several large infrastructure boards (CLARIN, DARIAH, E-RIHS). Finally, she is a member of the Net4Society Advisory Board and Dutch delegate of the H2020 Challenge 6 Programme Management Committee.

NICOLAS DROMEL
[French Ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation]
Deputy Director

Nicolas DROMEL, PhD in Economics, is Deputy Director for Social Sciences and Humanities at the French Ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation. He is also an Associate Professor at the Paris School of Economics and a Research Fellow of the French National Center of Scientific Research (CNRS). He held visiting positions with the University of California, Los Angeles, and New York University Abu Dhabi. His research is on the determinants of productivity, the macroeconomic consequences of market imperfections and stabilisation policies.
MARIA ESKEVICH
[Utrecht University]
Central Office Coordinator

Maria Eskevich is Central Office Coordinator at CLARIN ERIC. She has a strong background in language and speech technologies, digital humanities, information retrieval and evaluation. As a post-doctoral researcher, she has worked on policies for text and data mining, open access and multimedia search and hyperlinking. Her Ph.D. is on spoken document retrieval, data set creation, and results evaluation.

HEINZ FASSMANN
[Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research]
Federal Minister

Federal Minister Heinz Faßmann has been Minister of Education, Science and Research since December 2017. Before he was Professor of Applied Geography, Spatial Research and Regional Planning at the University of Vienna. In 2006 he became Dean of the Faculty of Earth Sciences, Geography and Astronomy, in 2011 Vice Rector for Human Resource Development and International Relations and in 2015 Vice Rector for Research and International Affairs.

Minister Faßmann was chairman of the Expert Council for integration in the Austrian Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs. He is a founding member of the German Advisory Council on Integration and Migration in Berlin and member of the Migration Commission in the Austrian Federal Ministry of the Interior. Furthermore, he is a full member of the Austrian Academy of Sciences and of the Academia Europaea.

ULRIKE FELT
[University of Vienna]
Vice Chair Scientific Committee, Head of the Research Platform Responsible Research and Innovation in Academic Practice, Dean of Faculty

Ulrike Felt is Professor of Science and Technology Studies (STS) since 1999. She is currently head of the STS department and the interfaculty research platform „Responsible research and innovation in academic practice” at the University of Vienna. From 2014 to 2018 she has been the Dean of the Faculty of Social Sciences. Her research focuses on changing academic research cultures, including questions of responsibility, research integrity and ethics in practice as well as on questions concerned with the relation of science/technology and society (governance, participation and democracy). She has published widely covering topics in the life sciences/biolmedicine, nanotechnology, sustainability/environmental research, social sciences as well as datafication/digitisation in their relation to societal developments. Since 2017 she is president of the European Association for the Study of Science and Technology.
FLORIAN FINDLER
[Vienna University of Economics and Business]
Teaching and Research Associate

Florian Findler is a Teaching and Research Associate at the Institute for Managing Sustainability [www.sustainability.eu] at WU Vienna. He studied management at the University of Marburg, the University of Hohenheim, and at the BI Norwegian Business School in Oslo. Prior to his work at the institute, Florian gained working experience in the IT and automotive sector and as a research assistant at the Chair for Marketing at the University of Hohenheim. His main research interests are sustainability in higher education, corporate social responsibility, and impact assessment.

CHRISTIAN FLECK
[University Graz]
Professor

Christian Fleck, Associate Professor at the Department for Sociology, University of Graz, Austria and Chief Research Fellow at the Poletayev Institute for Theoretical and Historical Studies, Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia. He was president of the Austrian Sociological Association and ISA's Research Committee History of Sociology. Selected Research and teaching posts abroad: Schumpeter Fellow at Harvard University, Fulbright Visiting Professor University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Directeur d’études invite at the Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales, Paris; Austrian Marshall Plan Foundation Fellow University of California, Berkeley.

ANABELA GAGO
[European Commission, DG Migration and Home Affairs]
Head of Unit

Anabela Gago heads Unit Innovation and Industry for Security [DG HOME]. She joined the DG in 2014 as responsible for Unit Organised Crime. She was Head of Cabinet of Commissioner Andor [Employment and Social Affairs] and Deputy Head of Cabinet of Commissioner Kovács [Taxation and Customs]. She worked also for Commissioner Vitorino [Justice and Home Affairs]. In DG Environment she was involved in major international negotiations and was Commission’s representative at the OECD Environmental Committee. Prior to this, she was in the Legal Service and in the Translation service of the Commission.
YVES GINGRAS
[OST – Observatory of Science and Technology]
Scientific Director

Yves Gingras is professor in the History Department and Canada Research Chair in History and Sociology of Science at the Université du Québec à Montréal. He is also scientific director of the Observatory of Science and Technology (OST), Montreal. His research interests cover the mathematisation of the sciences, the uses of formal analogies, the transformation and evaluation of research and the dynamic of scientific disciplines. His most recent books are Science and Religion. An Impossible Dialogue (London, Polity Press, 2017), Sociologie des sciences (Paris: Presses universitaires de France, 2nd edition, 2017), Histoire des sciences (Paris: Presses universitaires de France, 2018), Bibliometrics and Research Evaluation. Uses and Abuses, (Boston, MIT Press, 2016).

MICHAELA GLANZ
[Academy of Fine Arts Vienna]
Head of Art | Research | Support

Michaela Glanz is Head of the Art | Research | Support Department at the Academy of Fine Arts Vienna, where she supports and advises arts-based researchers, artists and scientists on project funding and related issues. Michaela is furthermore Head of the Management Board of the Centre for Knowledge Transfer East, a regional cooperation network including all nine Viennese universities, where the Academy is the lead partner for the fields of art, arts-based research, Social Sciences and Humanities. Before joining the Academy in 2012 she was programme manager at Vienna Science and Technology Fund.

BRADLEY GOOD
[VU University Amsterdam]
PhD Student

Bradley Good is a PhD student focusing on racism and anti-racism training in higher education. Originally from Indianapolis, USA, Brad received both his BA and MA in Anthropology from Indiana University and has several years of experience in higher education administration as an academic advisor, program manager, and student support specialist. He is currently a member of EU COST Action ENRESSH (European Network for Research Evaluation in the Social Sciences and the Humanities).
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JOËL GRAF
[EUResearch]
National Contact Point

Joël Graf studied History, Musicology and General Ecology at the University of Bern. He holds a PhD in History from the Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich. Since 2016, Joël Graf works for EUResearch, the official Swiss network for providing information and support on European Research and Innovation. He is a Horizon 2020 National Contact Point for the Societal Challenges 6 (Inclusive Societies) and 7 (Security), as well as for the Science with and for Society programme.

OLIVIER GRAU
[ACM Europe Council]
Chair of Europe Policy Committee

Oliver Grau works for Intel's R&D department in Germany. He was the Intel co-director of the Intel-Visual Computing Institute and now works on topics of automated driving. Previously he worked as a Lead Technologist for BBC R&D in London, UK, on computer vision projects for innovative media production systems. Oliver published more than 70 scientific publications and holds more than 10 patents. He got a PhD from Hannover University, is a recognised expert on computer vision and visual computing in the research community and served on many scientific program committees. He is a member of the ACM Europe Council, chair of the ACM EUTPC and a visiting professor of Surrey University, UK.

MONIKA HAIDER
[equalizent Schulungs- und Beratungs GmbH]
Chief Executive Officer

Monika Haider is a certified social manager and an expert in the field of accessibility, inclusion, deafness and public relations. She has extensive management experience in the disability rights, disability education, and equality projects. Her ability to turn innovation visions into business projects and the strength of her implementation abilities have made Mrs. Haider a successful social entrepreneur. Mrs. Haider is co-founder of equalizent Schulungs- und Beratungs GmbH and CEO since 2004.
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ELSA HARALDSDÓTTIR
[University of Iceland]
Research Assistant

Elsa Haraldsdóttir is a research assistance and PhD student in Philosophy at the University of Iceland. Her research focus is on philosophical and critical thinking and philosophical education, alongside with the value and role of the humanities in contributing to and dealing with change.

HARALD HARTUNG
[European Commission, DG Research and Innovation]
Head of Unit

Harald Hartung leads the unit ‘inclusive societies’ in charge SSH research at DG Research and Innovation. During his time in DG Education and Culture he held various management positions in charge of citizenship, culture policy and programme, multilingualism, Jean Monnet Chairs, the European University Institute in Florence, EU Youth Policy and the European Institute of Technology. Prior to the European Commission, Mr Hartung worked for the EFTA secretariat and the international department of the Federation of Austrian Industrialist. Mr Hartung holds a master degree in history and a post graduate diploma in international law and economics of the University of Vienna.

LAURA HETEL
[European Commission, DG Research and Innovation]
Policy Officer

Laura Hetel is a policy officer in DG Research & Innovation of the European Commission. Since joining the Commission in 2014, she led the team for the integration of SSH in Horizon 2020, developed research priorities in migration, polarisation and arts-based innovation, and coordinated the work programme for Inclusive Societies. In June 2018 she joined the Directorate for International Cooperation where she deals with the Euro-Mediterranean Dialogue and science diplomacy. She holds a B.A. on political science and economics and a PhD on the interplay between the consumer society and national identity.
JON HOLM
[Research Council of Norway]
Special Adviser

Dr Jon Holm is coordinating national research evaluations in the Division for Science at the Research Council of Norway. He holds a PhD in literature and has been working as a research administrator and manager since 2005. His current research interest is in research evaluation methodologies. Holm is also a national delegate to the European Network for Research Evaluation in the Social Sciences and the Humanities (ENRESSH COST-action) and a keen sailor.

POUL HOLM
[Trinity College Dublin]
Professor

Poul Holm is Professor of Environmental History at Trinity College Dublin, Ireland. He is the Director of the Trinity Centre for Environmental Humanities and President of the European Alliance for the Social Sciences and Humanities (EASSH). Poul holds guest professorships at the University of Gothenburg, and at Renmin University, Beijing. He was one of three co-authors of the Humanities World Report (Palgrave 2015) and was recently co-chair of the SAPEA evidence report on Food from the Ocean presented to the European Commission. His current research is an ERC-funded project on the North Atlantic Fisheries History 1400-1700 CE.

TJITSKE HOLTROP
[Leiden University]
Post Doctoral Researcher

Tjitske Holtrop is a postdoctoral researcher at Centre for Science and Technology Studies (CWTS) in the Science and Evaluation Studies (SES) research group at Leiden University. She studies the practices of evaluation: how are questionnaires made, what do numbers do, how are reports written or what happens in meetings? Trained as an anthropologist she studies how evaluation gets done, what kind of resources and people they mobilise, and what kinds of practices, collectives and ideas of accountability, change and quality they make possible. Her PhD project was about the evaluation of the Dutch civil-military mission in Afghanistan. Currently she is designing a project on knowledge diplomacy.
BARBARA HOREJS
[Austrian Academy of Sciences]
Professor

Barbara Horejs is an archaeologist and expert on Prehistoric Archaeology in the area of Southeastern Europe, the Aegean and Anatolia. In her postdoctoral research, as well as in her function as director of OREA, Institute for Oriental and European Archaeology of the Austrian Academy of Sciences (since 2013), she combined her profound archaeological knowledge with theoretical and interdisciplinary approaches. Barbara is member of several Scientific Boards and Networks (e.g. GENOM Austria, Austrian Centre for Digital Humanities (ACDH), ARCANE) and in 2014 she received the Research Award of the City of Vienna for scientific achievements in the humanities.

JÜRGEN HOWALDT
[TU Dortmund, Social Research Centre]
Director and Professor

Jürgen Howaldt, born 1960 is director of Social Research Centre at TU Dortmund and professor at the Faculty of Economics. He is an internationally renowned expert in the field of social innovation. He has consulted German as well as European policy-makers and has also presented his concept of social innovation in all parts of the world. He is Affiliate of the New Zealand Social Innovation and Entrepreneurship Research Centre – SIERIC (Massey University, New Zealand) and member of the Expert group of the German Rector’s Conference “Innovation und Transfer”. 2017 he was elected as Chairman of the European School of Social Innovation.

VLADIA IONESCU
[University of Barcelona]
Researcher

Vladia Ionescu is researcher at the Department of Sociology of the University of Barcelona. Her work has as its central axis the social impact of science, with a particular focus on analysing how the fact that citizens participate in the scientific research encourages research to have social impact. She is part of the technical team behind SIOR, the Social Impact Open Repository and is editor of the SIR journal (Social Impact of Research) of Hipatia Press.
NATASA JERMEN  
[Miroslav Krleža Institute of Lexicography]  
Assistant Director

Profesor Natasa Jermen is the assistant director for research and inter-institutional co-operation at the Miroslav Krleža Institute of Lexicography in Zagreb. Her role entails organising and managing the Institute’s scientific activities, as well as developing strategies. She graduated in molecular biology (1994) and gained MSc (2003) in biomedicine at the Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb. In 2012 she was awarded the PhD degree in information and communication sciences at the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Zagreb for research in the field of scientometrics.

MARTINA KADUNC  
[European Commission, DG Research and Innovation]  
Policy Analyst

Martina Kadunc is a Policy Analyst at the European Commission, Directorate General for Research and Innovation (DG RTD) since 2017. She is part of the Better Regulation Unit in charge of the monitoring, evaluation and impact assessment of the European Research and Innovation Framework Programmes. Prior to DG RTD she worked in private sector advising governments and international organisations in various areas of public policy including economic development, education, employment and social policy across Europe and Asia. She holds a Master’s degree from Columbia University, New York.

RAPHAELA KAISLER  
[Ludwig Boltzmann Gesellschaft]  
Research Group & Relationship Manager

Raphaela Kaisler is a psychologist with a multidisciplinary background in molecular biology and science communication. As a scientist, she worked in basic research in the field of cancer research, neuroscience and social psychology before she focused on project management in research organisations. Additional training in the psychosocial field and work with children and adolescents form the basis for her relationship function at the Ludwig Boltzmann Gesellschaft (LBG) and the mental health community. She manages the Mental Health Research Groups at LBG with a focus on public engagement in research.
WOLFGANG KALTENBRUNNER
[Technical University of Munich]
Researcher

Wolfgang Kaltenbrunner’s research biography is characterised by a longstanding conceptual and empirical concern with the interaction between formal science policies and the practical routines of academic knowledge production. He has published on a variety of topics in this area, such as the attempt to govern scholarly research agendas through the development of digital infrastructure, the administrative quantification of research “output” for assessment purposes, and the implications of changing academic publishing practices across different fields. As a member of the Science & Evaluation Studies (SES) group, he contributes to different projects in the new Centre for Science and Technology Studies (CWTS) research program, as well as to the further development of the mixed-method evaluative inquiry approach pursued in the CWTS B.V.

CARINA H. KESKITALO
[Umeå University]
Member of High Level Group on Scientific Advisors of the European Commission, Professor

Carina Keskitalo is Professor of Political Science at the Department of Geography and Economic History, Umeå University, Sweden. She works mainly in the fields of environmental policy including a focus on climate change and the arctic, renewable resource and land use issues. She is deputy coordinator on two International Union of Forest Research Organizations (IUFRO) units/working parties, associate editor/member of editorial board of journals in interdisciplinary environmental/arctic fields, and a Global Young Academy alumnus. Keskitalo is one of the seven chief scientific advisors to the European Commission.

NOËL KLI MA
[Ghent University]
Research Coordinator

Noël Klima is Research Coordinator at Ghent University’s interdisciplinary IDC consortium ‘Crime, Criminology & Criminal Policy’. He is focal point for SC7 security, represents CESÆR in the IGLO SSH WG, is member of the Alfa-EU WG and IMPACT Taskforce at Ghent University. Before he worked at the United Nations Interregional Crime and Justice Research Institute (UNICRI), European Crime Prevention Network (EUCPN) and DG Security & Prevention at Belgium’s Ministry of Interior. Noël Klima holds a PhD and MA in Criminology (Ghent, BE) and studied law in Münster (DE), Reims (FR) and Nijmegen (NL).
DOMINIK KLINKENBERG  
[German Aerospace Center Project Management Agency]  
Project Manager

Dominik Klinkenberg holds a diploma in public business administration and a Master’s degree in political science. He works as project manager of Net4Society and has extensive experience in the management of EU funded projects and further supports the project team in questions of communication and dissemination activities. Additionally, he provides online and on-site trainings on topics related to international cooperation in research from an SSH perspective. As German National Contact Point for Societal Challenge 6 working in the German Aerospace Center Project Management Agency (DLR PT), he is in close contact with the German research community.

MELANIE KNETSCH  
[UK Research and Innovation, Economic & Social Research Council]  
Strategic Lead for Impact and Innovation

Melanie Knetsch is the UK’s Economic and Social Research Council’s Strategic Lead for Impact and Innovation. She oversees a portfolio of knowledge exchange and impact activities including the Impact Acceleration Accounts and the Social Science Section of the UK’s Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology. She has led on developing and delivering ESRC’s Knowledge Exchange and Public Engagement strategies and has provided leadership and support to a breadth of ESRC investments focusing on engagement for impact. Previously she worked on the Newton Fund focusing on enabling impact in the International Development context.

CHRISTOPH KÖLLER  
[Görgen & Köller GmbH]  
Managing Director

Christoph Köller is managing partner of G&K, a science consultancy company based in Germany. He supports research institutions in turning results into innovations, focusing on Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH) research in order to solve industrial and societal issues. Christoph belongs to several pools of experts, including the jury of the Austrian Knowledge Transfer Center-program, the Finnish KINO- und iScout-projects, and the EU-project ACCOMPLISSH. He leads the working group on SSH transfer at ASTP. Christoph carries a Ph.D. in Marketing from Cologne University.
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THOMAS KÖNIG
[Institute for Advanced Studies]
Head of Strategy and Scientific Services

Thomas König is expert on innovation and research policy in Europe, with a special focus on the role of Social Sciences and Humanities. At present he is senior scientist at IHS (Institute for Advanced Studies, Vienna, Austria). Thomas studied history and political science at the Universities of Vienna and Copenhagen, and obtained his PhD in Political Science in 2009 with a study on the impact of the Fulbright Program on Austrian post-war academia. Thomas has been engaged with the European grassroots’ organisation, EuroScience, since 2012, and has published academic papers as well as opinion pieces on issues concerning EU research policy.

HORST KRÄMER
[European Commission, DG for Communications Networks, Content and Technology, Smart Mobility & Living]
Programme Officer

Horst Krämer is Programme Officer EU Policies at the European Commission’s Directorate General for Communications Networks, Content and Technology (DG CONNECT). His portfolio includes the digital transformation of health and care, smart and independent living as well as water management. He has been coordinator for Social Science and Humanities in Digital Health and coordinator for numerous Horizon 2020 framework programme topics. He is the Commission’s correspondent for the Joint Programming Initiative „More Years, Better Lives” and for Action Group on Age-friendly Buildings, Cities and Environments in the European Innovation Partnership on Active and Healthy Ageing.

MARCEL KRAUS
[Technology Agency of the Czech Republic]
Programme Manager

Marcel is a R&D programme designer at the Technology Agency of the Czech Republic. His work focuses mainly on innovative potential of social sciences, humanities and arts and on gender in research and innovation. He spent five years at Fraunhofer Institute for International Management and Knowledge Economy in Leipzig in the area of innovative know-how and technology transfer systems. Marcel studied economics at the University of Leipzig, music pedagogy at the University of West Bohemia in Pilsen and singing at Prague Conservatory.
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KIRSTEN LANGKILDE
[University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland, Academy of Art and Design]
Director

Professor Kirsten Merete Langkilde is Director of the University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland, Academy of Art and Design, Basel, Switzerland. She studied at the Royal Academy of Fine Arts in Copenhagen. From 2001 to 2009 she was Dean of the Faculty of Architecture, Design and Media, and vice-president at the Berlin University of the Arts. 2017 foundation of the ecam-graduate school in Basel. Research and development projects include Optimierte Dialoge, Reflektiertes Tun (2010, in cooperation with the Berlin Academy of the Arts); Innovation Habitat (2006–2008, in the 6th Framework Programme of the EU); Re:search in and through the Arts (2003–2005, in cooperation with ELIA).

HARALD LEITENMÜLLER
[Microsoft Austria]
Chief Technology Officer

Harald Leitenmüller is CTO of Microsoft Austria. He is a leading edge technology focused professional with 25 years of experience as system designer, architect and business manager/leader in various industries. He has developed high performance business and project teams with high visibility within technical and policy shaping audiences. Many know him as spokesperson and keynote speaker about innovative business models leveraging new technology trends on local and international major conferences. He has the proven ability to drive innovation processes that meet objectives, timelines, and budget tied to business and technology performance.

ANGELA LIBERATORE
[European Research Council Executive Agency]
Head of Unit

Angela Liberatore is Head of Unit on Social Sciences and Humanities at the European Research Council Executive Agency. The Unit manages the evaluations and monitoring of projects submitted to ERC in that domain. Previously Angela worked in DG RTD of the European Commission (EC) in the International Cooperation, Social Sciences and Humanities, and Environment programmes. She participated in the EC work on the White Paper on European Governance and the negotiation of the Kyoto Protocol on Climate Change. Angela holds a PhD in Political and Social Sciences and a degree in Philosophy.
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MALIN LINDBERG
[Luleå University of Technology]
Professor

Malin Lindberg is a Professor in Industrial Design at Luleå University of Technology, Sweden. She studies inclusive forms of innovation and organisation, with specific interest in social innovation, civil society innovation and gender-equal innovation. She specialises in participatory research, jointly developing new knowledge with societal actors. Her works are widely published in books and journals, e.g. International Journal of Innovation Management, International Journal of Innovation and Regional Development, and International Journal of Social Entrepreneurship and Innovation.

GABI LOMBARDO
[European Alliance for Social Sciences and Humanities]
Director

Dr. Gabi Lombardo is the Director of the European Alliance for Social Sciences and Humanities (www.eassh.eu), the advocacy and science policy organisation for Social Sciences and Humanities in Europe. She is an expert in both higher education and global research policy, and has extensive high-level experience operating at the interface of strategy, science policy, research support and funding. Gabi holds a senior level experience in strategic and ‘foresight’ planning in elite higher education institutions, international research funders and associations as she worked with the London School of Economics (LSE) the European Research Council (ERC) and Science Europe (SE).

SERGIO ANDRÉS MANRIQUE GARZÓN
[Autonomous University of Barcelona]
Researcher

Sergio Manrique is a Colombian PhD fellow at the Business Department of Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona in Spain, with a background in Industrial Engineering (BSc) and Business Economics (MSc). His doctoral work focuses on the impact of university-firm collaboration on firm performance and regional development, and makes part of RUNIN Project (The Role of Universities in Innovation and Regional Development), an Innovative Training Network funded by the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions.
KATJA MAYER
[Centre for Social Innovation]
Researcher

Katja Mayer – trained as a sociologist – works at the intersection of science-technology-society. She studies open data practices in Computational Social Science as postdoc at the School of Governance, Technical University Munich. Furthermore, she works as a senior scientist at the Centre for Social Innovation in Vienna. She is expert and rapporteur in the European Commission Mutual Learning Exercise on Open Science. She is an active member of the Open Knowledge’s working group on Open Science and participates in the OANA (Open Access Network Austria) working group on defining a national strategy for the transition to Open Science.

STEAFAN MEYSMAN
[Ghent University]
Research Coordinator

Stefan Meysman is the Research Coordinator of the Pirenne Consortium for Medieval Studies, an interdisciplinary research centre that focuses on cross-cultural research into the Middle Ages, medieval cultural heritage, and the dialogue between the past and the present. As a research coordinator, he is a member of both the EU-SSH Working Group and the Impact Taskforce of Ghent University, focused on resp. EU research policies and the university’s impact policy. Stefan holds a PhD degree in History and teaches gender history. As part of the Ghent University team, he is an active member of the European ACCOMPLISSH project.

STEFANIA MILAN
[University of Oslo, UvA – University of Amsterdam]
Associate Professor

Stefania Milan is Associate Professor of New Media and Digital Culture at the University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands, and Associate Professor (II) of Media Innovation at the University of Oslo, Norway. Her research explores the interplay between digital technology and participation, cyberspace governance, and emerging data epistemologies, with a particular interest for research methods and ethics. She is the Principal Investigator of the DATACTIVE project, funded through a Starting Grant of the European Research Council (ERC), and of the Algorithms Exposed (ALEX) project, financed by an ERC Proof of Concept grant.
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MONIKA MOKRE
[Austrian Academy of Sciences]
Senior Researcher
Monika Mokre is a political scientist and senior researcher at the Institute of Culture Studies and Theatre History of the Austrian Academy of Sciences. Her research interests include cultural politics, asylum and migration politics, democracy and the public sphere, as well as gender and intersectionality. In 2016, she was a Visiting Professor at the Department of Political Science of the University of Vienna. She is a member of the Fellowship Committee for Grants of the Austrian Academy of Sciences and deputy chair of the Advisory Panel on Cultural Diversity of the Austrian Commission for UNESCO.

ÜLLE MUST
[Estonian Research Council]
Chief Specialist
Ülle Must has participated in several international projects as coordinator and principal investigator, and delivered numerous seminars, training courses, and workshops in international fora over the past fifteen years. She has been and is a member of several expert and working groups, networks, and evaluation panels. Her main research interests belong to bibliometric studies. She has been a member of the program committee of several international conferences (COLLNET, ISSI).

OLENA NEDOZHOGINA
[University of Tartu]
Project Manager, Researcher
Olena Nedozhogina, is an early career researcher and a PhD student at the University of Tartu, Institute of Social Studies. Ms Nedozhogina focuses on the impact of digital media on identity, nationalism and political affiliations. She also works as a project manager and analyst in a number of international projects, including H2020, Interreg and Erasmus+.
SONJA NOVAK–ZEZULA
[Center for Health and Migration]
Co-founder and Head of Center for Health and Migration

Sonja Novak-Zezula, PhD in Sociology, Mag.rer.soc.oec. in Sociology and Communication Sciences; Mediator; Co-founder and Managing Director of Center for Health and Migration (C-HM), a private research institution based in Vienna. In the “Thematic study on cost-analysis of health care provisions to migrants and ethnic minorities (2014-2016, in the framework of IOM EQUI HEALTH project co-financed by DG Sanco) and the Study on ”Migrants and Healthcare”. Sonja Novak-Zezula is member in several COST actions.

HELGA NOWOTNY
[Chair Scientific Committee, Professor Emerita of Science and Technology Studies]

Helga Nowotny is Professor Emerita of Science and Technology Studies, ETH Zurich, and a founding member of the European Research Council. In 2007 she was elected ERC Vice President and between in March 2010 until December 2013 ERC President. In January 2014 Professor Nowotny was appointed Chair of the ERA Council Forum Austria. She is a member of the Austrian Council for Research and Technology Development and Visiting Professor at Nanyang Technological University, Singapore. Recently, she has been honoured by the rarely awarded Gold Medal of the Academia Europaea and the British Academy President’s Medal.

JEAN ERIC PAQUET
[European Commission, DG Research and Innovation]
Director General

Jean-Eric Paquet began his career in the European Commission in 1993 in the Directorate-General for Transport. In 2002 he became the deputy head of office of M. Busquin, member of the European Commission in charge of Research policy. Mr Paquet was EU Ambassador in the Islamic republic of Mauritania between 2004 and 2007. He returned to transport in 2007 where he led the development of the Trans-European Transport Network policy. He joined DG Enlargement in November 2013 where he took over the Directorate in charge of relations with Albania, Bosnia & Herzegovina, Serbia, Kosovo. In November 2015 he was appointed Deputy Secretary General of the European Commission responsible for Better Regulation and Policy Coordination. He took up his present position in April 2018.
JEAN PAUL

[Ludwig Boltzmann Gesellschaft, Medical University of Innsbruck]
Principal Investigator

Dr Jean Paul is the PI of the Village Project at Ludwig Boltzmann Gesellschaft and Medical University Innsbruck, Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, Division of Psychiatry I. She is a social scientist and applied linguist in child health research, using qualitative approaches to explore how people experience and are impacted upon by their interactions with the healthcare system. Before moving to Innsbruck earlier this year, she was a postdoctoral researcher at the Murdoch Children’s Research Institute, Australia. She maintains international relationships and is an honorary research fellow with The University of Melbourne and Murdoch Children’s Research Institute.

DAVID BUTZ PEDERSEN

[Aalborg University Copenhagen]
Director, Professor

David Budtz Pedersen is Associate Professor and Co-Director of the Humanomics Research Centre, Aalborg University Copenhagen. His current research focuses on research and innovation policy with a special interest in research evaluation, impact assessment, and Open Science and Innovation. He holds PhD, MA and BA degrees in philosophy and science policy studies. David Budtz has about 75 entries on his list of publications ranging from research papers, research monographs, edited volumes, policy reports, op-ed columns, newspaper articles etc. In 2007, he became a member of the European Commission’s FP7 Programme Committee for the Socio-Economic Sciences and Humanities, dealing with analysis and priority-setting in SSH research. In 2012, he supported the Danish Presidency of the European Union in the areas of science and innovation, co-organising the high-level conference “Science in Dialogue” at University of Southern Denmark.

ANDREA PETŐ

[Central European University]
Professor

Andrea Pető is Professor in the Department of Gender Studies at Central European University, Budapest, Hungary, and a Doctor of Science of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. She is author of five monographs, editor of 31 volumes, as well as 259 articles and chapters in books published in seventeen languages. She has also been a guest professor at the universities of Toronto, Buenos Aires, Novi Sad, Stockholm and Frankfurt. In the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, she is the chair of the subcommittee on history of Second World War, member of the Presidential Committee of Hungarian Academy of Sciences on Female Researcher’s and Life Course and Committee on History of Life Sciences.
RūTA PETRAUSKAITĖ
[Vytautas Magnus University]
Professor

Rūta Petrauskaitė has been a vice-chair of the Research Council of Lithuania as well as the Chair of the Committee of the Social Sciences and Humanities during the last decade. She has been also involved in the activities of the program committees for Social Sciences and Humanities at the European Science Foundation as well as at EU Framework Programmes, COST Scientific Committee, Board of HERA and Science Europe Research Data working group. Currently she is a member of the ESFRI Forum, General Assembly of CLARIN ERIC research infrastructure and FAIR data expert group.

THOMAS PICKEL
[equalizent Schulungs- und Beratungs GmbH]
Project Manager

Thomas Pickel is an expert in the fields of project management, business process optimisation, change management and diversity management. He worked over 15 years for banks in regard of post-merger projects and group-wide standardisation of business processes and their compliance. Now he is project manager for the build-up of a franchise system to export the unique know how of equalizent to Europe.

GENY PIOTTI
[European University Viadrina]
EU Liaison Officer

Geny Piotti studied Political Science at Florence University, Italy, and obtained her PhD in Economic Sociology in 2002. Before she started in science management, she was engaged in several research projects in Göttingen, Cologne and Florence. A first deep insight view on European research funding Piotti gained as a Science Officer at the COST Office in Brussel from 2011 to 2012. Afterwards she worked as an Officer for Career Development at the Social Science Research Center (WZB) in Berlin. In 2013, she became Head of EU Research Affairs at European University Viadrina, Frankfurt/Oder, Germany.
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RICCARDO POZZO
[University of Verona]
Professor

Riccardo Pozzo studied at Università di Milano (MA 1983), Universität des Saarlandes (PhD 1988) and Universität Trier (Habilitation 1995). He has been Chair of the History of Philosophy at Università di Verona and Director of the Institute for the European Intellectual Lexicon and History of Ideas-CNR. From 2012 to 2017, he served as Director of the Department of Humanities and Social Sciences, Cultural Heritage of the National Research Council of Italy. Elected to the Institut International de Philosophie and appointed expert of the Horizon 2020 Research Infrastructures Programme Committee.

AD PRINS
[Support in ResearchManagement]
Researcher, Owner

Ad Prins works as independent researcher and consultant for universities, knowledge institutes and larger research schools. He is actively involved in the development and use of novel indicators and ways to perform research evaluation. His main interest is to demonstrate the societal entwinement of research activities and the use of its outcomes in all its varieties, which he sees as vital for fairer and more relevant evaluation practices.

CLAUDIO RADAELLI
[University College London]
Professor

Claudio M. Radaelli has a double degree in Economics and Social Sciences and a PhD in political science. At University College London (UCL, Department of Political Science), Claudio is Professor of Public Policy. As comparative policy analyst, Claudio has published 80 articles and written or edited 18 books and special issues of academic journals. Claudio was awarded two Advanced Grants by the European Research Council: Analysis of Learning in Regulatory Governance (Alreg, 2009-2014) and Procedural Tools for Effective Governance (Protego, 2016-2020).
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STEPHANIE RAMMEL
[Austrian Research Promotion Agency]
Austrian NCP – National Contact Point for SSH / Societal Challenge 6
Stephanie Rammel is working in the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG) as SSH National Contact Point (NCP) for European Framework Programmes since 2011. She also represents the FFG in NET4SOCIETY, the international network of NCPs for Societal Challenge 6 in Horizon 2020. Furthermore, she is an expert for Gender in European Research Area and Framework Programmes. Before, Stephanie has worked as researcher in FP6-projects at Danube University Krems, Austria, and for the Federal Ministry of Science and Research. Stephanie Rammel holds a M.A. degree in Social and Economic Sciences from the University of Vienna and a post gradual diploma in Sociology from the Institute of Advanced Studies, Vienna.

EMANUELA REALE
[Research Institute on Sustainable Economic Growth IRCRES, National Research Council of Italy]
Senior Researcher
Emanuela Reale, social scientist, is senior researcher at CNR-IRCRES Research Institute on Sustainable Economic Growth IRCRES, National Research Council of Italy. Her main areas of interest are higher education policy, governance, R&D funding, research evaluation and impact assessment. Emanuela has been principal investigator in several national and European projects dealing with higher education, research evaluation and research policy. Currently, she is Member of the Board of the Consortium of Higher Education Researchers CHER. Emanuela publishes in several international journals and books including Research Policy, Science and Public Policy, Research Evaluation, Higher Education.

MATTHIAS REITER–PÁZMÁNDY
[Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research]
Deputy Head of Department
Matthias Reiter-Pázmándy is Deputy Head of the Department for Social Sciences and Humanities in the Directorate General for Scientific Research and International Relations in the Austrian Federal Ministry for Education, Science and Research (BMBWF). He represents Austria in several committees in the field of research policy and SSH. Currently he is delegate in the Programme Committee for Societal Challenge 6 in Horizon 2020, in the General Assemblies of the research infrastructures CESSDA (Consortium of Social Science Data Archives in Europe), ESS (European Social Survey) and SHARE (Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe), as well as in the ESFRI Strategic Working Group on Social and Cultural Innovation.
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SARAH DE RIJCKE
[Leiden University, CWTS – Centre for Science and Technology Studies]
Professor
Sarah de Rijcke is Professor of Science and Evaluation Studies at the Centre for Science and Technology Studies (CWTS), Leiden University. She works on academic e/valuation processes, changing research cultures, knowledge infrastructures, and roles of research in and for society. At CWTS, she chairs the Science and Evaluation Studies (SES) research group (www.ses-leidenuniv.com) and also acts as deputy director. De Rijcke is editorial board member of Science, Technology & Human Values (ST&HV), Science and Technology Studies, and Interdisciplinary Science Reviews. As of 2016, she is a member of the Young Academy of Europe.

EVELYN RUPPERT
[Goldsmiths, University of London]
Professor
Evelyn Ruppert is Professor of Sociology at Goldsmiths, University of London. Evelyn is PI of an ERC funded project, Peopling Europe: How data make a people (ARITHMUS; 2014-19). She is Founding and Editor-in-Chief of the SAGE open access journal, Big Data & Society. Recent books are Being Digital Citizens (co-authored with Engin Isin) published in 2015 and Modes of Knowing (co-edited with John Law) published in 2016. She currently holds a Van Doorn Honorary Chair and Fellowship at Erasmus University Rotterdam and Netherlands Institute for Advanced Study (NIAS).

GEORG RUSSEgger
[Academy of Fine Arts Vienna]
Project Manager
Georg Russegger is a trained media-anthropologist and media-artist. During his Post-Doc in Tokyo he was directing the „Coded Cultures Festival“ in Vienna and Yokohama. As project lead of the Life Long Learning project „Ludic Interfaces“ he was developing a Master’s Degree Programme for several universities in Europe. At the moment he works at the Academy of Fine Arts Vienna as head of the Centre for Knowledge Transfer. Since 2014 he is project lead of the “SSHA” sector of the Knowledge Transfer Center East (www.wtz-ost.at), an inter-university project of all Viennese Universities.
Docent Ulf Sandström (KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm) is a well-known scholar in the field of scientometrics, evaluation and research policy. Recent work focuses on quantitative issues in policy related research, e.g. how to measure research productivity, how to measure cognitive distance in peer review, how to measure overall performance in research groups.

Gerd Schönwälder works on the socio-economic, -political and -cultural aspects of the clean-energy transition for the European Commission’s DG Research and Innovation (RTD). Previously, he was an invited researcher at the Centre for International Policy Studies (CIPS) and the German Development Institute (DIE), after holding senior positions at the International Development Research Centre (IDRC). Gerd has a background in peacebuilding, conflict prevention and democracy support, as well as policy coordination and strategy development. He earned a PhD in Political Science from McGill University, Montréal, Canada.

Klaus Schuch, is expert on techno-globalisation, research and innovation policies and evaluation. At present he is director and senior scientist at ZSI (Centre for Social Innovation), Austria. Klaus is also managing director of the Austrian Platform for Research and Technology Policy Evaluation. Since 2015 he is national correspondent for the EC’s Research and Innovation Observatory. In 2016/2017 he was delegated to the ERAC Working Group on Impact Measurement. Among other assignments, Klaus is also Austrian delegate to the European RTD Evaluation Network and member of the COST Scientific Committee.
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EIRIKUR SMÁRI SIGURDARSON
[University of Iceland]
Director

Eirikur Smári Sigurðarson is a researcher at the Centre for Research in the Humanities and the director of research at the School of Humanities, University of Iceland. His main area of research is within ancient Greek philosophy but he has lately been working on the concept of societal impact of research in the humanities. Before joining the University of Iceland, he held positions at the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture and the Icelandic Centre for Research.

JOLANTA ŠINKŪNIENĖ
[Vilnius University, Faculty of Philology, Institute of English, Romance and Classical Studies]
Associate Professor

Jolanta Šinkūnienė is a linguist and Associate Professor at Vilnius University. She is a member of the Committee of Humanities and Social Sciences at the Research Council of Lithuania and a member of H2020 Programme Committee on Challenge 6. Jolanta also leads a Special Interest Group for Early career investigators in COST Action “European Network for Research Evaluation in the Social Sciences and the Humanities (ENRESSH)”. Her research interests focus on disciplinary cultures within SSH, academic rhetoric, research publication practices, evaluation of research, academic identity aspects.

PEKKA SIVONEN
[Business Finland]
Executive Director

Pekka Sivonen is the executive director of digitalisation for Business Finland, a public research and innovation funding agency. Sivonen has raised the second to most private equity funding in Finland, 38 million Euros, in two rounds: 3+35 million. He is also the Chair of the Finland 2030 Future Committee for corporate renewal and chair of national strategy and roadmap for Digital Platform Economy. Furthermore, Sivonen has 20 years of experience in mobile software, as he is the founder of Digia, a publicly listed NASDAQ OMX mobile company. He previously was the executive in residence at Aalto University Center for Entrepreneurship, and headed the health-tech startup accelerator, VERTICAL, in collaboration with Samsung and Sonera.
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INEKE SLUITER
[Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, Leiden University]
Vice President, Professor

Ineke Sluiter, FBA is Professor of ancient Greek at Leiden University (1998-), and Vicepresident of the KNAW. She is a Spinoza prize laureate (2010), PI of the research program ‘Anchoring Innovation’, and an advocate of undergraduate research.

ALESSIA SMANIOTTO
[OpenEdition Center]
Project Coordinator

Alessia Smaniotto is project coordinator at OpenEdition Center (EHESS/CNRS/AMU/UA), PhD candidate in Philosophy and Social Science at EHESS and former journalist. She trained in philosophy, journalism and sociology in Italy and France. Her work for Open-Edition focusses on scientific communication and the collaboration between research and society. She lead a seminar on collaborations between SSH researchers and journalists and she run training courses on scientific blogging and on the challenges of electronic publishing. Her doctoral research focusses on journalism as a form of knowledge.

SHARON SMIT
[University of Groningen]
Director

Sharon Eugenie Smit has over 10 years of experience in the strategic policy field at government level and over seven years of experience in academia. She has been affiliated with the University of Groningen in the Netherlands in roles as Director of the SSH Impact institute Sustainable Society and coordinator of the Horizon 2020 project ACCOMPLISSH. Nowadays she is heavily involved in strategic advising to governments, international organisations and research institutes to optimise societal impact and foster well-thought-through policies.
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JOANNA SOFAER
[University of Southampton]
HERA Knowledge Exchange and Impact Fellow

Joanna Sofaer has extensive experience bridging academic, business, heritage, tourism, NGOs, arts and education organisations to realise the transnational impact of European humanities research. She is Director of Archaeology for the Creative Industries, delivering novel interventions in the creative and cultural sectors and has led and partnered in several large European projects; she led HERA-JRP Creativity & Craft Production in Middle and Late Bronze Age Europe. Author and editor of nine books, she researches prehistoric creativity and the role of the past in contemporary creative practice.

TAL SOFFER
[Tel Aviv University]
Senior Faculty Member, Director of (two) Units

Tal Soffer, PhD, is a senior faculty member in the School of Education at Tel Aviv University. Director of two academic units: Technology and Society Foresight and the Center for Web-Supported Academic Instruction - Virtual TAU. She has an extensive research experience of more than 20 years, in the field of Technology Foresight and its relations with societal implications: Education and cyber technologies, online learning and privacy. She has been involved in vast of national and international research projects, including H2020 research projects as principal investigator and coordinator of several EU projects.

MARTA SOLER
[University of Barcelona]
Director, Professor

Marta Soler, Harvard PhD, is Full Professor of Sociology at the University of Barcelona (Spain) and Director of CREA, Community of Research on Excellence for All. She is President of the Catalan Sociological Association and representative in the Federal Assembly of the Spanish Federation of Sociology. Moreover, she is Vice-president of the European Sociological Association. She also serves at the Governing Board of the European Alliance for the Social Sciences and Humanities (EASSH), belongs to the ORCID Board of Directors, and is Expert Evaluator and Ethical Reviewer for the Framework Programme of Research of the European Commission. Marta is Board Member of SIOR (Social Impact Open Repository), the first open registry of social impact all over the world.
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MICHAEL SONDERMANN
[German Federal Ministry of Education and Research]
Policy Officer

After completing his studies in Geography in Bonn and Santiago de Compostela, Michael Sondermann gathered work experience in science (e.g. at Fraunhofer Gesellschaft). In 2011, he assumed a position at the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) in Germany. He is mainly focused on international cooperation (notably with Asia) and the promotion of the humanities and social sciences. He represents the BMBF in a Horizon 2020 programme committee and is member of several supervisory boards of non-university research institutes.

JACK SPAAPEN
[Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, ENRESSH – European Network for Research Evaluation in the Social Sciences and the Humanities]
Emeritus Senior Policy Advisor, Vice Chair of ENRESSH

Jack Spaapen is vice chair of the COST action ENRESSH and emeritus senior policy advisor at the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences. His main expertise is in methods for societal impact evaluation and public engagement, and in research and innovation policy. He has coordinated many national and international research projects, among others for the European Union the FP7 SIAMPI project on productive interactions between science and society and the assessment of societal impact of research (2009-2012), and on Responsible Research and Innovation, RRI (2014).

BARBARA STACHERL
[Vienna University of Economics and Business]
Research Assistant

Barbara Stacherl is a Research Assistant at the Institute for Managing Sustainability at Vienna University of Economics and Business. She studied International Cultural and Business Studies at the University of Passau and the Higher School of Economics in Moscow. Barbara is currently doing her master’s degree in Economics at the Vienna University of Economics and Business. Before joining the institute, she gained experience in the trade fair and the consulting sector. Her main research interests are impact assessment and sustainability in higher education.
EVA STENSKÖLD
[Swedish Riksbankens Jubileumsfond]
Research Manager

Eva Stensköld is Research Manager at the Swedish Riksbankens Jubileumsfond – an independent foundation supporting research in the Humanities and Social Sciences. Before this Eva worked several years in the Swedish Government Offices – at the Ministry of Education and Research and at the Ministry of Culture, and prior to this at the Swedish Research Council. Her main areas of interests have been research policy/research funding and research infrastructures within the SSH. Eva has a doctoral degree in Archaeology from Stockholm University.

JONAS STIER
[Dalarna University]
Professor

Jonas Stier is a professor of Intercultural Studies and a former Pro-vice Chancellor of Dalarna University in Sweden. He is the co-work package leader in the ACCOMPLISSH project. Moreover, he has long experiences of co-creation and impact-oriented SSH projects at the local, national and international levels.

TEREZA STÖCKELOVÁ
[Czech Academy of Sciences]
Researcher

Tereza Stöckelová is a researcher at the Institute of Sociology of the Czech Academy of Sciences, associate professor at the Department of General Anthropology, Charles University, and editor-in-chief of the English edition of Sociologický časopis / Czech Sociological Review. Her work is situated in-between sociology, social anthropology and Science and Technology Studies (STS), and draws upon actor network theory and related material semiotic methodologies. She has investigated academic practices in the context of current policy changes, (social) science and society relations, environmental controversies.
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ROGER STRAND
[University of Bergen]  Professor, Adjunct Professor

Roger Strand is Professor and former Director (2005-2011) of the Centre for the Study of the Sciences and the Humanities (Zentrum für Wissenschaftstheorie) at the University of Bergen, Norway. He is Adjunct Professor on Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI) at the Norwegian University of Science and Technology. Strand’s research interests revolve around policy, decision-making and governance at the science-society interface. Strand chaired the European Commission Expert Group on Indicators for RRI.

DOROTHEA STURN
[Centre for Social Innovation]  Researcher

Dorothea STURN works as a senior expert at ZSI (Centre for Social Innovation) and as an independent expert in various national and international evaluation and policy support projects as well. She was Managing Director of the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF), Austria’s central funding organisation for basic research. Previously she worked at the University of Vienna as Head of the Quality Assurance and Evaluation Unit. At the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG) she was responsible for various funding programmes fostering the co-operation between research and economy. Her main areas of expertise revolve around research and innovation policy, research funding and assessment as well as strategy development. She holds an MA and a PhD in Economics and has lectured on public economics and on political economy.

URSULA TRUMMER
[C–HM – Center for Health and Migration]  Co-founder and Head of Center for Health and Migration

Ursula Trummer, PhD in Sociology, MSc. in Organisational Development and Counseling, Mag.rer.soc.oec. in Political Science; Co-founder and Head of Center for Health and Migration (C-HM), a private research institution based in Vienna. In the “Thematic study on cost-analysis of health care provisions to migrants and ethnic minorities (2014-2016, in the framework of IOM EQUI HEALTH project co-financed by DG Sanco) and the Study on "Migrants and Healthcare". Ursula Trummer is member in several COST actions and works as independent expert for the European Commission, the European Agency for Fundamental Rights, the Norwegian Research Council and OSCE/ODIHR.
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JUHA TUUNAINEN
[University of Oulu]
Associate Professor

Juha Tuunainen is Associate Professor in Management and Organisation at Oulu Business School, the University of Oulu, Finland. His research has covered a range of topics stretching from Science and Technology Studies to organisational sociology and innovation studies. Tuunainen’s research has addressed innovation policy, university organisation, social engagement in science and commercialisation of research results. Presently, he is involved in research focusing on university-society interaction and distributed leadership in university entrepreneurship hubs.

BETTINA UHRIG
[Oslo Metropolitan University, Norwegian Social Research Institute]
Senior Adviser

Involved in international projects, networks and associations since the early 1990s, and a member of EARMA, the European Association of Research Managers and Administrators for the last 12 years. Engaged in European Framework Programmes for Research [and Innovation] from 1997 onwards and, since 2007, Senior Adviser and Project Manager at the Norwegian Social Research Institute (NOVA) in Oslo, now part of Oslo Metropolitan University (OsloMet). Since May 2017, Impact Manager for the Horizon 2020 project ‘DARE – Dialogue About Radicalisation and Equality’.

MARC VANHOLSabeeck
[Ministry of Wallonia-Brussels Federation, ULB – Free University of Brussels]
Head of Direction, Researcher

Marc Vanholsbeeck has earned a PhD in Information and Communication Studies, focusing on publication assessment in the SSH. He teaches research methodologies in communication as well as science communication at Université Libre de Bruxelles. Furthermore, he is heading the Direction of Scientific Research of the Ministry of the Wallonia-Brussels Federation, representing Belgium at different committees and work groups of the European Research Area. Marc is particularly interested in issues that relate to research evaluation and Open Science, and is Belgian delegate to the ENRESSH COST action.
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MAJA VEHOVEC
[The Institute of Economics, Zagreb]
Researcher

MAJA VEHOVEC, received a Ph.D. from the University of Rijeka in the field of social studies, discipline of economics, and completed her postdoctoral study at Cornell University, USA. Maja is currently employed at the Institute of Economics, Zagreb as a tenured senior research fellow and full professor of economics. Recent research interests include financial literacy, longer working life, and health financing. She is a national delegate for SSH in Horizon 2020. She also served as president of the National Council for Science and of the Scientific Committee for Social Sciences in Croatia.

VANIA VIRGILI
[National Institute for Nuclear Physics]
Italian Representative H2020–SC6

Vania Virgili is senior scientific officer at the Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics. She graduated from Sapienza University of Rome with an M.A. (2007) in Sciences for Cultural Heritage and a Ph.D. (2011) in Chemical Sciences. Her professional activities are cross-sectoral and include EU projects on R&D for cultural heritage (2007-2012), EU research infrastructures for Social and Cultural Innovation (2012-2016) and disaster risk reduction (from 2016). From 2014 to 2018, she was advisor to the Italian Minister for Culture. Since 2016, she is Italian Representative in H2020, Societal Challenge 6.

JOHANNES VOGEL
[Museum for Natural History Berlin]
Director General

Johannes Vogel is General Director of the Museum for Natural History Berlin, Chair of the European Citizen Science Association and the Open Science Policy Platform of the EU from 2016-2018. His research has focused on evolutionary biology and citizen science. His interests include developing dynamic, adaptive, entrepreneurial scientific organisations, and creating and sustaining robust knowledge communities and public engagement with science. He received a Ph.D. in the Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge and the Department of Botany, Natural History Museum, London, where he went on to become Keeper of Botany.
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WALTER WEIGEL
[Huawei European Research Institute]
Vice President

Walter Weigel graduated from the Technical University in Munich, Germany, with a master’s degree in electrical engineering in 1984 and with a Ph.D. in 1990. From 1984 to 1991, he was an assistant professor at the Institute of Data Processing at the Technical University in Munich. From 2006 to 2011, he was the director general of the European Telecommunication Standards Institute (ETSI). Between 1991 and 2015, he held several positions within Siemens AG, including vice president of external cooperation and head of standardisation in corporate technology, vice president of the research & concepts department of the mobile networks business unit as well as head of the business segment, video processing for the semiconductor business unit.

FRANK WELZ
[University of Innsbruck]
Professor

Professor Frank Welz, is teaching sociology and social theory at the Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, University of Innsbruck, Austria. He has studied sociology, philosophy, history, and psychology at the University of Freiburg, Germany. Frank has been a governing board member of the (EASSH) and a (new) founding member of the (ISE). In 2015-2017, he further served as President of the (ESA).

TONY WHYTON
[Birmingham City University]
HERA Knowledge Exchange and Impact Fellow

Tony Whyton is a cultural musicologist and author of the is critically-acclaimed books Jazz Icons: Heroes, Myths and the Jazz Tradition (Cambridge University Press, 2010) and Beyond A Love Supreme: John Coltrane and the Legacy of an Album (Oxford University Press, 2013). Since 2009, he has worked as Project Leader for two large-scale European research projects: the JPI Heritage Plus project Cultural Heritage and Improvised Music in European Festivals (CHIME) and the award-winning HERA JRP project Rhythm Changes: Jazz Cultures and European Identities.
JAMES WILSDON
[University of Sheffield]
Professor

James Wilsdon is Professor of Research Policy at the University of Sheffield and Vice-Chair of the International Network for Government Science Advice (INGSA). Previously, he chaired the UK’s Campaign for Social Science, and led a government review of the role of metrics in research, published in 2015 as The Metric Tide. From 2016-2017, he chaired an EC Expert Group on Next Generation Metrics. Previously, he worked at the universities of Sussex and Lancaster, and as Director of Science Policy at the Royal Society. He is a Fellow of the UK Academy of Social Sciences and on twitter @jameswilsdon.

MARTA NATALIA WRÓBLEWSKA
[University of Warwick]
Researcher

Marta Natalia Wróblewska is an interdisciplinary social scientist, with a background in Philosophy (MA) and Applied Linguistics (MA) working on issues related to academic discourse and academic identity, particularly in evaluative contexts. Her doctorate in Applied Linguistics focuses on the influence of the Impact Agenda (part of the British REF – Research Excellence Framework) on linguistic practices in academia. She is member of EU COST Action ENRESSH (European Network for Research Evaluation in the Social Sciences and the Humanities).

IGOR YEGOROV
[National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine]
Researcher

Professor Igor Yegorov is a Department Head of the Institute of Economics and Forecasting and the Head of the Office of Evaluation of Research Organizations of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine. He is also member of the National Scientific Council of Ukraine. He is an author of more than 300 publications on S&T and innovation policy in Ukrainian, Russian, English, and some other languages in the edited volumes and international journals, such as Research Policy, Science and Public Policy, Europe-Asia Studies, International Journal of Technology Transfer and some others.
MILENA ŽIC FUCHS
[University of Zagreb, Croatian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Academia Europaea]
Professor, Fellow of the Croatian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Member of Academia Europaea

Milena Žic Fuchs is Full Professor of Linguistics at the University of Zagreb. In 2010, she was elected Fellow of the Croatian Academy of Arts and Sciences and, in 2013, a member of Academia Europaea. From 2012 to 2013, she was member of the EC Expert Group for ESFRI Roadmap, and is at present member of numerous Science Advisory Boards at European level in the domains of SSH and Research Infrastructures. From 2008, she was member of the ERC Advanced Grant Panel SH4 “The Human Mind and Its Complexity” and chaired the Panel from 2014. From November 2016 she is member of the High Level Group on Maximising Impact of EU Research and Innovation Programmes, set up by the European Commission, chaired by Pascal Lamy.
ON-SITE SUPPORT
ON-SITE SUPPORT

If you need support or information do not hesitate to contact one of our staff members (wearing red conference badges) at the conference. The reception (Infopoint) will be permanently occupied during the two days.

Special thanks to the many helpers, in particular:

Philipp Brugner
Patrik Cunat
Alexander Degelsegger-Márquez
Isabell Duscher
Tanja Feiler
Robert Frühstückl
Bettina Glaser
Maximilian Jäger
Doris Kaiserreiner
Silvia Kraml
Martina Lindorfer
Katja Mayer
Gottfried Prinz
Peter Seitz
Gorazd Weiss

TWITTER LIVE STREAM

The conference will feature a Twitter live stream. To interact, share your pictures, comments and questions use the following conference hashtag

#SSHImpact

To directly send messages to the main conference organiser use

@ZSIInnovation

Make the conference as open and interactive as possible and share your thoughts!
THE CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE PUBLISHED AS A SPECIAL ISSUE OF THE OPEN ACCESS FTEVAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY EVALUATION.

... RTI POLICY EVALUATION AT THE INTERFACE BETWEEN PRACTICE AND ACADEMIA ...

The ‘fteval Journal for Research and Technology Policy Evaluation’ contributes in a quality assured way to exchange between various stakeholder groups in the area of science, research, technology and innovation policy evaluation. It addresses policy-makers, practitioners, evaluators and the academic community. Thematic editions alternate with thematically open issues. By now 46 editions were published, which can be accessed and downloaded from the fteval-repository and the fteval homepage. The fteval Journal is open access. The journal and each published paper receive a separate DOI. All paper contributions are quality controlled, but not subject to peer-review in the strict academic sense.

Researchers and practitioners from the RTI policy domain are invited to send contributions to the editor of the ‘fteval Journal for Research and Technology Policy Evaluation’ (office@fteval.at). The contributions can be submitted either in German or English.

If you want to receive the ‘fteval Journal for Research and Technology Policy Evaluation’ free of charge, please fill out this form and send it to office@fteval.at or drop it into the infobox at one of our conferences and events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>first name</th>
<th></th>
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<td>country</td>
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<td>e-mail</td>
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By filling in this information you agree to* receive the fteval Journal by mail (free of charge)
* to receive the electronic newsletter of fteval, which informs about pertinent issues of RTI evaluation in Austria and the EU via email (such as calls for contributions for the next fteval Journal) and thus you entitle the fteval to process your indicated personal data for the purpose of transmission of information to you.

You can revoke consent at any time by informing us via eMail (office@fteval.at).